

September 13, 2018

1 of 2

United States Court of Appeals
for the Tenth Circuit
Office of the Clerk
Byron White United States Courthouse
1823 Stout Street
Denver, CO 80257

RECEIVED
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
10TH CIRCUIT
2018 SEP 17 AM 10:38

Re: Case No. 18-1141
Hale v. Federal Bureau of Prisons, et al.

Dear Sir or Madam,

Please find enclosed for filing:

- 1) Motion to Accept Reply Brief of 9750 words Instanter
- 2) Reply Brief of Reverend Hale

The two documents are separated by a yellow tab.

Very Truly Yours,

Rev. Matt Hale
Plaintiff-Appellant, pro se

2 of 2

Rev. Matt Hale

15177-424

U.S.P. - Max.

P.O. Box 8500

Florence, CO 81226-8500

In the United States Court of Appeals
For the Tenth Circuit

Reverend Matt Hale,
Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

Case No. 18-1141

Federal Bureau of Prisons, et al.
Defendants-Appellees.

Motion to Accept Reply Brief of 9750
Words Instantly

Plaintiff-Appellant Reverend Matt Hale moves the Court to accept the approximately 9750 word reply brief which he is submitting concurrently with this motion, stating as follows:

1) As the Court is aware, the Defendants-Appellees filed, with the Court's permission, an answer brief of 19,431 words in this case.

2) A bigger brief on the Defendants' part naturally led to a bigger reply brief on Hale's part than that literally provided by rule (6500 words).

3) At approximately 9750 words, however, it stands at a little more than half of the word count of the Defendants' brief, which is what the rules envision.

4) The Defendants bring numerous accusations against both Hale's religion and Hale's person which are simply unsupported by the record evidence of this case. Therefore, Hale was compelled to refute those accusations with detailed references to that record which caused his brief to contain considerably more words than it would have otherwise. Furthermore, the Defendants cite numerous cases which are inapposite to this case and Hale was compelled to explain why that is so to the Court. That too resulted in a higher word count. The Defendants also argue for the affirmance of the judgment of the district court on alternative grounds, grounds which Hale was compelled to address for the first

time.

5) Due to the short window of time which Hale had to file his reply brief, he was unable to file this motion earlier.

Wherefore, Hale respectfully moves that the Court accept his Reply Brief of 9750 words instanter.

Respectfully submitted,

Reverend Matt Hale

September 13, 2018

Rev. Matt Hale

#15177-424

U.S.P. - Max.

P.O. Box 8500

Florence, CO 81226-8500

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on September 13, 2018, I sent a copy of this motion to Susan Prose, Asst. U.S. Attorney and Counsel for the Defendants-Appellees, at 1801 California St., Suite 1600, Denver, CO 80202, her last known address, via 1st class mail.

Ther. Brett Hale