

The Triumph of Life: An Assault Upon the Values of the Current World

By Matthew F. Hale

Chapter One The Sickness of Our Times

When a people has, for the most part, lost its drive for self-preservation, it is the task of those few who have retained it to use whatever means of persuasion and insight that are available to them in an effort to help reverse that situation. No price is too high to pay and no sacrifice is too great to endure to achieve that end, for what is at stake is nothing less than the survival of that people upon the face of this earth.

For those of us who happen to be members of the White Race which is, of course, a people by any natural and sensible usage of the term, it is our task and responsibility therefore to wake up our people to the situation that faces them and reestablish within it, the race, its drive to preserve itself, thus staving off the fate that is currently in store for it if the present tendency of our times is allowed to continue unchecked: extinction. For there can be no doubt that a people which does not care about its continued survival on this earth will, in fact, *not* survive and that this precisely is the state of affairs that exists with our White people today. Indeed, we can go further than that and say that a people that is unwilling even to *talk* about its continued preservation on this earth, let alone act in its favor, is in even *more* dire straits than the people which only fails to *act* on its own behalf. Even worse still though is the situation of a people that not only fails to *act* on its own behalf and not only fails to *talk* on its own behalf, but which also fails to *think* on its own behalf. That, sad to say, is the situation that exists with our White people of today, though of course there are exceptions here as with everything else; most White people do not *think* with their racial preservation in mind. It is not surprising then why they would fail to speak up about the importance of that preservation or act in its favor. Obviously, the state of *mind* comes first before the word and before the deed. The latter are only the consequences, after all, of a previously existing mental state.

Thus if we are to fulfill our task and responsibility of instilling within our White Race the will to preserve itself, we must focus our efforts on curing *the mental state* of our people. We must persuade them that their

preservation as a race is a *good* value, not a bad one, that it is not wrong to be concerned about the future of *White* people, yes White people, as opposed to the usual concern about blacks, browns, Jews, and the rest. By succeeding in that persuasion, which includes the use of reason, hope, emotion, and instinct, we will reinstill the drive in our people for the preservation of their own kind, for the drive for preservation is in fact the default position of every living thing whether it be individual creatures or the races that are composed of same. Thus it is our task merely to reinstill within our White people that which is present within them naturally, and it is that fact which should give us cause for encouragement regardless of the dire situation in which we presently find ourselves.

One can describe the present situation of our White people in many different ways, no doubt, but it all boils down to the fact that our drive for self-preservation is lacking. Whether we are talking about our people's tolerance for the non-white invasions of their countries, the fact that our people are afraid to stand up for their own best interests as White people for fear of "offending" the other races, or even the fact that our people are producing so few children to replace the passing generations, every manifestation of our racial predicament today can be traced back to the fact that our desire for self-preservation has become weakened, if not eliminated altogether. While the other races are encouraged to fight for their own best interests, White people are *discouraged* from doing so. While the black man is encouraged by society to proclaim that "black lives matter" when black lives are taken by White police officers, no such encouragement is made to the White man to proclaim that *White* lives matter when White lives are taken by *blacks*, something that occurs with far, far greater frequency. Anything and everything that is "racial" in the current society presumes that White people are disallowed from playing any part *for themselves*; White people are the one race that is *not* allowed to be racial as far as the mass media, government, universities, and other institutions of influence and power are concerned. Everything concerning race in our society is geared to empower every race *but* the White. Indeed, mere steps to *preserve* the White Race are deemed to be off limits too! Thus it is that our drive for self-preservation is under attack. It is under attack both from the outside and from within our own psyche. It is, in sum, assumed by the societies in which we live that White people should not *want* to preserve their own kind. That is the sickness of our times, in brief, and it is a sickness that should, by all rights, leap off the page before the reader. *Never before in the history of the world has a people been willing to make such an assumption, that the preservation of itself has no value*, and yet that is the sickness with which our White people everywhere are imbued today. In times past, racial preservation was so basic a societal value that hardly anybody

needed to discuss it. Now, however, our White people have become conditioned not to care about their own preservation in the world. They have turned their backs on their own preservation, and thus turned their backs on *themselves*.

Thus while the other races, without a second thought, station their armies on their own borders to stop incursions by other peoples and races, White people are expected to open their *own* borders to every race under the sun, and even to provide financial assistance to their invaders once they are there. Thus while the other races are deemed to be entitled to *lands of their own* without debate or contention, White people are *not* deemed to be entitled to lands of *their* own anywhere on this earth. Everything that White people have is in fact for the *taking* today; whether the other races *deserve* to take what we have is deemed to be beside the point. Rather, the very *presence* of the non-white races is deemed to create a responsibility somehow on the part of White people to help them, including helping them to annex everything that we have created with our own hands, our own toil, and our own genius. Every semi-simian “human being” is told that he has a rightful claim to everything that is ours, and if we should have the temerity to deny that claim, we are somehow “haters,” “racists,” and whatever other current pejorative that can successfully be used to intimidate us out of defending our own kind and our own best interests. The White man, in response to this attack upon his drive for his own self-preservation, is so anxious to be a “nice guy” that he will clip his own wings in order to appease his accusers and thus makes himself prey for whatever non-white hyena who wants to gobble him up. He is like a tiger who would de-fang and de-claw himself so that the other races would think him “nice,” nice enough to *slaughter*, that is. Such is the sickness of the times that we are in today, a world in which the White man gleefully throws away any and all tools that he needs for his own survival.

Since “all men are (supposedly) created equal,” it is presumed that any “equality” that the other races lack in their actual lives must be the White Man’s fault. It is not considered, or at least discussed, that the lack of “equality” suffered by the non-white races is simply a reflection of their innately unequal nature, that basic genetics obviously has a role to play in the prosperity of a people. Rather, it is the very lack of prosperity of the various non-white races when they are left to their own devices which motivates White people to shove aside the pursuit of their *own* best interests as the White people that they are in order to attain prosperity *for the non-white races* which eludes those races when they are left alone without the White Man’s help. Thus the White Man robs Peter, himself, in order to pay Paul, the other races, with sustenance and advantages that Paul never deserved or had any right to in the

first place and, in the process, weakens his own preservation on this earth. His focus is removed from the benefit of his own kind and transferred to that of the other races, at the expense of that benefit. His own race withers away as a result.

It stands to reason that if you give someone something, you no longer have it yourself, and yet (amazingly) our White people have yet to figure that out in their dealings with the other races. Hence they give, give, and give some more to them and yet wonder why it is that their own lives are not as prosperous as they think they ought to be. Children understand the principle easily enough, but that is only because they have yet to be indoctrinated with destructive ideologies that divorce them from their common sense and the real world. Children understand that if they fork over that which is theirs to somebody else, it won't be *theirs* anymore! And the same, of course, applies to the *races*. Our White people though, once they become adults, are instead imbued with a bizarre, suicidal altruism that would presume that their bounty is without limit and their fortunes without risk. *What is so glibly disparaged as the "primitive self-interest" of a race is, in fact, the mechanism by which every race on this earth continues to exist*, and yet White people would cast aside the usage of that mechanism for *itself*. Thus while the non-white races are given the White Man's blessing to pursue their *own* "primitive self-interest" for their own kind as they see fit, the White Man refuses to give the same permission to *himself* and that too is indicative of the sickness of our times. It is thought by White people that it is somehow "beneath" them that they pursue their *own* best interests, that supposed "higher ideals" in fact *require* them to sacrifice their own best interests as a race in favor of the collective interest of a supposed "common humanity." The reality, however, is that no such "common humanity" exists and never has, nor is the preservation of one's own kind obtained through service to that supposed "common humanity." Rather, it is the case that the races of life that exist in this world are preserved through total allegiance to their own, *exclusive* best interests in all things and at all times. Races are preserved through the *fulfillment* of their own best interests, not their sacrifice. Indeed, the preservation of one's own kind is the best interest of all! *That* is the highest ideal regardless of whether somebody may think it "primitive" or not, for after all, if a race no longer *exists*, there is precious little reason to care about whatever other issues might have concerned it before it met its demise, including the "ideals" that it once held. Rather, whatever "ideals" it may have had will have vanished with it. Thus it must be so that the preservation of the race is the highest ideal of all since no other ideals can exist for that race without the continued existence of the race itself. Whatever ideals that men have must be subordinated to the ideal that the race

itself should continue to live, for no ideal can be more important than life itself for that race. It is furthermore not the survival of a common “humanity” that matters but rather the survival of the White Race that we *are*. The maintenance of that *existence* is the highest ideal for it is the existence of *us* that is thus maintained.

The White Man though, as is obvious, has replaced the ideal of his own existence and preservation of same with that of ideals that not only ignore that preservation but which also positively harm it. The idea of so-called “racial equality,” for example, has *never* been an ideal that is conducive to the preservation of our kind since it breaks down the natural barriers that exist between the races, barriers that would otherwise keep them intact. Indeed, it is not by “equality” that a race preserves itself in this world but rather by total *chauvinism* for its own kind and its own best interests. It is *sick* in fact for a race to treat a different race the same as *itself*. It is *counter* to the drive for self-preservation to deem the other races of life on this earth to be of equal value to that of your own race. The current society attacks all notions of racial superiority and yet it is the feeling of racial *superiority*, not “equality,” that motivates a race to preserve itself, to avoid mixture with the other races, and to defend the culture and civilization it has created. It is the feeling of racial *superiority*, not “equality,” that provides a race with the impetus to preserve itself. There can, on the other hand, be no possibility for the preservation of your own race if you deem the races of this world to be interchangeable, i.e. “equal” to one another. It is thus their uniqueness, and the *recognition* of that uniqueness, that provides the motivation to preserve your own kind. Thus by denying that uniqueness, that *inequality* which is in fact basic to all living things on this earth, our White people set the stage for their own racial demise, for a race that deems itself merely to be of “equal” worth to that of the other races has little to no incentive to preserve itself. Thus it is that belief in racial *inequality* is friendly to life while belief in racial “equality” is hostile to it.

Thus it is that our White people today have matters exactly backwards. They aim for an ideal that is destructive to their own preservation. They ignore the differences between races where recognition is so necessary for that preservation. They pretend that skin color is the only difference between the races of men, thus eliminating their incentive to preserve their own kind amidst the sea of other races that threaten it by virtue of their very presence amongst them. They fail to appreciate that racial competition and hostility is basic to all life on this planet, as it should be. A race cannot be preserved if it lacks unique regard for itself, the recognition of its unique existence, and at its most basic level, a race obviously cannot survive if its members breed willy-nilly with other races under

the misplaced belief that they are their “equals.” Rather, racial mixing is racial *destruction*. The moment that a race has become “colorblind,” it has begun to sign its own death warrant, and yet that is the “ideal” which our White people would laud so much.

Thus it is that the very “ideals” that our White people have chosen to embrace are hostile to their continued existence on this earth. Thus it is that if we mean to save that existence, we must destroy those ideals in the hearts and minds of men. We do not have the luxury of a “live and let live” attitude if we mean for our *race* to continue to live. A race rather must also be imbued with the *will* to live, to deem its continued life to be of the *utmost* value, not a negligible or non-existent one. Values which are *not* racial are in fact *enemies* of a race, not its friends. If a race is to be preserved, that would-be preservation must be deemed to have value.

With these principles in mind, we can see that the situation around us today is a total mess. With every passing year the position of the White Race in this world becomes weaker while that of the non-white races grows stronger. Our White Race is afflicted with a hideous guilt complex as far as its past treatment of the non-white races is concerned. However, this is a guilt complex that was never justifiably incurred in the first place since that treatment was merely an expression of our own drive for self-preservation that we formerly possessed as a race. Indeed, not only do races in a state of nature *not* apologize for their negative treatment of those other races which they confront, but that negative treatment is an expression of their *vigor* and their *health* in the struggle for life. No apologies are owed and none should be given. Every treatment of one race by another is entirely justified in so far as that is a result of their mutual competition in the struggle for life, and “guilt” itself thus has no place in that regard. It is, in other words, a *sick* race that feels guilty about its treatment of other races in the past when that treatment was meted out in the first place as part of its drive to preserve (and advance) *itself*. There is simply nothing that White people should feel guilty about as far as their past treatment of the non-white races is concerned, and yet our White people wring their hands today like old ninnies due to the guilt trips that have been laid out for them by the aggressively arrogant, but supposedly “oppressed” non-white races and their self-contemptuous and opportunist White backers. If we conquered the other races, we conquered for *us*. If we enslaved the other races, we enslaved for *us*. *That* is what matters: the fact that we did it for *us*! That is where our concern must lie and nowhere else.

The non-white races use our own misguided ideals to destroy us, just as they use our technology, our laws, and everything else that the White Man has invented and which he should have kept for himself alone. People, however, have practically no comprehension about this fact; they never stop and consider that when blacks, for instance, murder White people, they usually do so with guns that White people invented; that when they file lawsuits complaining about the “equality” that has allegedly been denied to them, they are using a legal system that was created by the White Man; and that when they complain about a lack of “proper housing,” it was the White Man who showed them what a “house” was in the first place. Rather, it is the case that our White people lack any kind of historical perspective at all when it comes to race. They do not fathom the fact that *barbarism* is the black man’s natural condition, that it is not the White Man who had ever “held him down” but that it is the White Man rather who has *lifted him up* in all respects. Everything that the White Man has created for the advancement of humanity is taken for *granted* and, without even a scintilla of shame or inhibition, the non-white races will use those creations to destroy their creator. Laws made by White Men will be twisted in order to dispossess the White Man of everything he has. Civilization made by White Men will be used to eject the White Man from that civilization. The languages of White Men will be manipulated to convince the White Man that he is no good. Political ideals made by White Men will be used to destroy the White Man’s political existence. This occurs continuously and continually and yet, due to our lack of historical sense and appreciation for the contributions of our own people to this world, we fail to see it. It is assumed, rather, that there is a lack of historical hierarchy among men that gives everybody, of whatever race, the right to a supposed clean slate in their dealings with others regardless of their racial background and, more importantly, regardless of the respective contributions of the various races of men to the civilization that exists all around them.

Furthermore, it is assumed that no injustice can ever be meted out to our own White people at the hands of the non-white races simply because we are *White*. Indeed, as far as the present society is concerned, it is impossible for White people *ever* to be wronged as a people. The non-white races, especially the black, can murder, rape, and rob our people to their hearts’ content without it ever being admitted that a wrong to our White *Race* has occurred. Our countries can be taken over by every non-white hue under the sun without it even being allowed that we have cause for complaint. If, on the other hand, these things were to be done to the non-white races by the *White*, a deafening scream of outrage would be emitted, especially among the “liberal” White people themselves who are

determined always to view non-whites as *victims* regardless of the facts. Thus every act of conquest carried out by White people in the past against the non-white races and their territories is condemned while *none* of the many conquests carried out by the non-white races of the *White Race* and *its* territories are even *regretted*, never mind condemned. The very lowness of the non-white races somehow gives them a free pass in all of their actions; it is deemed impermissible to blame them as a race while White people, on the other hand, are blamed as a race all the time. *Thus the very inferiority of the non-white races has oddly motivated our White people to take their side at the expense of their own kind.* We view the non-white races as *underdogs* and have taken their side accordingly, while rebuking our own supposedly “privileged” selves. We are thus the victims of our own success while the non-whites are the beneficiaries of their own failure.

It is decried that the White Man conquered the American Indian but the American White Man is supposed to let himself be conquered by mestizos, orientals, blacks, Arabs, and every other non-white race. It is decried that there was European colonization of Africa, Asia, and the Americas in the past but the European White Man is today supposed to let Europe be colonized by Africa, Asia, and the Middle East. Everything that the White Man would criticize *himself* about in regards to his past treatment of the other races he allows the other races to do to *him*. He is blinded by an idiotic altruism that would omit the welfare of his own kind and indeed hate it. He wishes to advance the “rights” of the non-white races at the expense of his own right to *exist*. He fights for the future of the non-white races at the expense of his own future. Such is the sickness of our times. Since we ourselves are a thoroughly conscientious race, we end up assuming that the other races are as well. Hence we assume that they feel what we feel and think what we think when in reality they do neither. Since ideas of fairness and justice are so fundamental to our own nature as a race, we end up carrying those ideas over to the needs and desires of the other races too. Hence why our White people are always so eager to put themselves in their shoes; the generous quantity of fairness and justice with which our own race is endowed bursts its racially-exclusive bounds and extends to that of the welfare of the other races too. In the process, we end up seeing the world from *their* point of view since they seem so obviously to be deprived of the same “privileges” and “advantages” that we perceive ourselves to possess. In a word, our heightened sense of fairness and justice as a race ends up evoking our *sympathy* for the plight of the non-white races beyond that for *ourselves* and our own best interests, a sentiment that is utterly lacking in reverse since notions of fairness and justice are considerably weaker within those races. Since we would not like to see *ourselves*

ill-off, we are thus bothered when we see *the other races* ill-off. Thus since we want fairness and justice for *ourselves*, we want fairness and justice for the other races too, that fairness and justice being defined by what we would want for *ourselves*.

The indifference with which the races regard each other in Nature is thus erased when it comes to our own sentiments. The non-white races essentially become colored versions of *ourselves* in our minds, and since we would want the best for *ourselves* as individuals, we want the best for them too. Then, when that best is not forthcoming, we presume that we have been unfair and unjust to them on account of the higher standard of living that we ourselves possess and our (supposed) control of the world around us. In other words, since we are the ones (supposedly) in power and the races of men are all (supposedly) “the same” as one another, we presume that it must be our fault when the other races do not have “the same” as us. Those with more must have “deprived” those with less. Thus we rationalize that since *we* (supposedly) have the best, *they* should have the best too. That is the logic, or lack thereof, that guides the thinking of our confused White Race. We want to give to the other races because we would want to receive that largesse if it were *us*. We want to be “fair” to the other races because we would want fairness if it were *us*. We define “justice” for the other races by that which we suppose would be just to *us*. Instead of taking the other races at the level at which they actually are, a level which is lower than ours and thus less deserving on that basis, we raise them to our own level in our minds and rebuke *ourselves* when that level is not actually reached. Instead of looking at the world *subjectively* as does every other race on earth, we look at it *objectively* as a result of our heightened sense of fairness and justice, and since the other races are so obviously inferior in their lives as matters stand, we take their viewpoint in order to explain that inferiority. It does not seem “fair” that they would be inferior to us and so we rush to their aid to stop that from being so. In the process, though, we subvert and ignore our own best interests as a race, weakening and, in fact, *extirpating* our own drive for self-preservation in this world. The non-white races colonize the lands of the White Race as part of *their* healthy drive for self-preservation but instead of our resisting that as part of our *own* healthy drive for same, we *assist* them in their conquest! All of this flies in the face of the natural order of things, of course, but since our White Race has become thoroughly *de-natured*, it does not realize this nor care.

The myth of “racial equality” plays a large role in all of this as well but had our people not been imbued with such an exaggerated sense of fairness and justice in the first place as we have mentioned, the damage done to

the preservation of our kind on this earth would not have been nearly as great as has become the case. Indeed, it may well be that our absurdly proportioned sense of fairness and justice motivated us to create and accept the idea that the races of men are “equal” to one another in the first place. It did not seem “fair” or “just” to us that some races of men might simply be *better* than others and so we pronounced them “equal” in an effort to remedy the “unfairness” and “injustice” of such a scenario. It did not seem “fair” or “just” to us that a Supreme Being would have created races of men that were unequal to one another, and so we told ourselves that he *didn't*. It does not seem “fair” that we should exclude them from our society and so we *don't*. Of course, we could just as easily say the same thing about all of the races of *animals* in the world too and that's, in fact, where we went wrong: our thinking that our notions of fairness and justice should extend beyond our dealings with those of our own kind in the first place, whether to the other races of men, the races of animals, or to anything else. Indeed, notions of fairness and justice are entirely *intra-racial* in Nature, not interracial; they are part of a race's drive for *preservation* in that they advance the race in its dealings with *itself*. They regulate the conduct of its members in a way that is consistent, reliable, and advantageous to the racial whole. Therefore, *notions of fairness and justice can never be permitted to undermine the preservation of a race, that is, if that race wants to continue to live*. Fairness and justice have to be *subordinate* sentiments to the drive for self-preservation itself, not hostile to that drive, for otherwise they will have become hostile to the very reason why they were developed in our kind in the first place. Notions of fairness and justice are thus only a *means* to an end, not an end in themselves, and that end is the preservation of the race in which they arose, not its demise. A race which would replace its drive for self-preservation with heady and fanciful ideas of “fairness and justice towards all mankind” and other such drivel is not long for this earth, not unless it quickly gets its head straightened out, throws such drivel overboard, and reasserts its own will to live regardless of the cost.

The situation that our White people face today is thus extremely ironic: we developed notions of fairness and justice that are higher and more refined than that of any other race on earth and we developed these notions precisely as a result of our own racial superiority; and yet, due to the very fact that these notions are indeed so strong within us, we have extended them to the welfare of the non-white races as well, thus setting the stage for the *destruction* of that superiority and indeed our very existence. We allow the infiltration of our culture, civilization, and bloodlines, destroying all. In other words, it is our very superiority as a race which leads to our *downfall* as a

race. Our refined sense of fairness and justice ultimately leads to the spread of *barbarism*, not to the spread of our higher race and its higher culture as one might have otherwise expected. Thanks to our assistance as the nice chumps that we are, the other races expand at our *expense*. Our generosity raises them up all right but we create a threat to our very survival in the process that otherwise would never have existed. We are so much higher than the non-white races that we perceive a need to *help* them; in consequence, though, we cause the cutting of our own throats and our own destruction. Were we a *lower* race, we would be uninterested in helping the non-white races just as they are uninterested in helping us right now; but alas, since we are the highest, we believe that we can and *should*. Thus our superiority ends up *hurting* us. We let the other races into our territories and otherwise assist them because we (erroneously) think that we can *afford* to. By doing so though, we cause our own racial destruction, eliminating the racial superiority that enabled us to go out of our way to help the non-white races in the first place. Not only is our race actually destroyed by virtue of their mere presence around us but our very *drive* for self-preservation is weakened by virtue of the anti-racial propaganda that is also employed to justify and encourage that presence. The whole thing thus becomes a vicious circle with our White Race being assaulted from all sides, in all ways, and in all aspects. Our habitat is overrun, our culture is destroyed, our lives are murdered, and our genes are mixed. We are the victims of *genocide*.

If this situation seems altogether sick, that is because it is. That is because it is part of the sickness of our times: a time where a race has become so superior that it is able to use its superiority against *itself* by virtue of its generosity to those other races who would overthrow it, and uses that which normally are tools *for* its own preservation (notions of fairness and justice) to go *against* that preservation. Thus we shoot ourselves as a race in the head. Our race becomes the victim of its own success. Our generosity destroys our own existence. Instead of *preserving* our kind with our sentiments, we create conditions with them that would erase it from the face of the earth. Our hyper altruism turns us into hyper *fools*.

The non-white races, again especially the black, figured out some time ago that all they need do to keep the White Man cringing, and on the defensive, is to never act satisfied with their lot, always demand *more* when they are given *anything*, and always claim that there is a hidden racism lurking about, somewhere, that is holding them down, back, and behind from enjoying the prosperity that would otherwise be theirs were the nasty White Man not (supposedly) in power and thus not “oppressing” them. Thus the White Man rushes to the black man with gifts in

hand only to be scorned and abused for not doing *more*, the White Man foolishly taking this as proof that he is not doing *enough* for the black man whose feelings he had apparently hurt so badly. The White Man assumes that the outrage of the black man has a valid basis since he cannot imagine *himself* ever feigning outrage in order to sponge off another race, that kind of dishonorable conduct being totally alien to his own nature. In reality, he never should have given the black man anything in the first place, that it is the *giving* that is the problem, not the extent of the gift. The black man will *never* be satisfied because his feigned outrage can always be used to induce the White Man into giving him more. The black man does not deal with the White Man with a view towards achieving objective justice, certainly not the kind of justice that the White Man would conjure up in his own head. Rather, the black man deals with the White Man as a being whose altruism is something to *exploit*. He bites the hand that feeds him because he knows that the White Man has convinced himself, falsely, that his *own* wrong has caused the bite, that the black man can only be mad because the White Man has done him wrong. As a result, our White people continually dispossess themselves in the black race's favor, only hastening their own racial demise. There is a never-ending attempt to appease a race that can never be appeased. There is a never-ending transfer of our wealth to a race that in no way deserves it. The black race is like a child that cries because it has only been given *one* cookie, cries some more when it is given a second, and is thus given still more cookies in the forlorn hope that it will stop crying at some point. However, it doesn't, and the tearful face quickly turns into a smiling one when the foolish parent (the White Race) turns its head. White people mistakenly assume that every race has the same sense of justice, that all that is necessary for everyone to be happy is for every race to be treated "fairly," a concept that is pretty much limited to the White Man's own psyche in the first place. Thus the White Man imputes sentiments and values to the other races that he himself possesses, not understanding that these sentiments and values may well be alien to the natures of those who are *not* of his own kind. As always, the White Man would delude himself into thinking that the races of men are all the same except for the color of their skin and thus assumes that, if the non-white *races* are dissatisfied with their lot at the hands of the White Man, he, the White Man, would be dissatisfied too were he in their shoes and thus that it is the White Man's fault. The other races only need complain and the White Man assumes that he is to blame. His own conscientiousness is at such a high level that he assumes that whatever outrage that is professed by the non-white races cannot possibly exist without a just cause. The result, of course, is that he is taken from without end. He is taken from until there is nothing left to take. And all the while that the White Man

insists on being colorblind, the other races see nothing *but* color. They are for the best interests of their *own* races while the White Man abandons *his* best interests to the extent that he forgets that such things can even *exist*.

Thus when the non-white “refugees” are allowed into Europe, they are not satisfied with that generosity by any means. Rather, they expect, demand, and receive free food, free shelter, free education, and free health care and, if these things are not forthcoming, they *riot*. That alone should tell White people that the problem is the *giving* of the refuge in the first place, that they themselves would be better off never having given the would-be “refugees” anything at all. The attitude of every non-white invader is that the White Man *owes* him and that, if he fails to deliver, he has the right to acquire the White Man’s bounty *by force*. Our people have thus become a *servant* race to the world, that world deluded by the “all men are created equal” propaganda lie that subsequently motivates it to wrest that supposed “equality” from the White Man by whatever means necessary. After all, if it is really true that “all men are created equal,” as the White Man has foolishly proclaimed to the non-white world contrary to all evidence and logic, it is understandable why the non-white races would think themselves justified in seizing some of that supposed “equality” from the White Man so that they too can live a life that corresponds to their supposedly innate equality as human beings. In other words, if the races of men are truly “equal” in value to one another, shouldn’t they all be living equal lives and have equal material prosperity, and if they are *not* enjoying that equal standard of living with the White Man, why *shouldn’t* they seize the prosperity of the White people and thus “equalize” the situation for everyone, by force if necessary? *That is the mental outlook of our invaders* and it is likewise the mentality that exists wherever our people are at the mercy of non-white rule: our farms, our personal possessions, and our money will be expropriated by the non-white-ruled State, as happens today in “Zimbabwe” (Rhodesia), all in the name of “equality,” of course. Thus the falsehood of “racial equality” not only damages our will to preserve our own kind but it encourages the non-white races to invade our territories and seize the prosperity that we ourselves have worked for and earned. The non-white races feel themselves entitled to an equal standard of living with the White Man with whom they are supposedly equal and, when they cannot acquire that on their own, they feel themselves justified in taking it from the White Man through the evocation of sympathy, the employment of coercion, or by outright theft and violence. The White Man has caused the other races to have unjustified expectations about the quality of life they are meant to live; he causes a threat to his own continued existence as a result. Since the non-white races have been led to believe that they are our “equals,” they assume that the White

Man, who has more than them, has somehow treated them unfairly, that he has “oppressed” them, and that he is, essentially, wrongfully withholding from them the equal life that is appropriate for their supposedly “equal” selves. This results in resentment on the part of the non-white races and deprivation on the part of our own kind. We make and the non-whites take. No one has ever been able to explain why, if the races of men are truly “equal” to one another, they *shouldn't* have the same level of material prosperity. And why *shouldn't* White people be forced to fork over their own (alleged) prosperity to the non-white races in order to “equalize” a situation that seems so unequal as it stands? The answer, of course, is that the idea that the races are equal in ability, capacity, or *value* is absurdly false in the first place. It is a falsehood that has done tremendous damage to our people in the weakening of its drive to preserve itself.

The very fact that all of the nations of our White Race have discarded any and all racial considerations in regards to their immigration laws is all of the evidence necessary to demonstrate the truth of the previous statement. We are swarmed with non-white invaders under the delusion that they are the “equals” of our own White people and, as a result, our cultures, our genes, and our lives are destroyed by virtue of our taking them in. Our societies are made ever and ever more inferior through the ever-increasing numbers of inferior non-white inhabitants within them, an outcome that would have been entirely foreseeable and predictable had we admitted to ourselves that all men are *not* created equal. Pretending that every creature that can stand on two legs and speak is the “equal” of every other sacrifices everything that we are, everything that we’ve built, and everything that we cherish in this world. By disregarding the fact that all men are *not* created equal, we allow the habitat of our own kind to be overrun and thus the precondition for our own continued existence to be destroyed, for, just as species in *Nature* require their own habitat to survive, so too do the races of *men*. Every rape and slaughter of our women, every degradation of our culture, and every desecration of our bloodlines that occurs today can and should be laid at the altar of the "racial equality" idol which has failed to protect our kind in its struggle for life. The lowering of our own self-regard and value as a people, in order to make us the "equal" of the non-white races, has only emboldened those races to murder, rob, and rape us and invited them otherwise to hate us for our (supposedly) unjustified success. It is not some kind of coincidence, after all, that the more that our White people bend over backwards to demonstrate to the non-white races their belief in racial "equality," the *more* they are attacked and abused by the very races that are the beneficiaries of such foolishness. We also *tolerate* those attacks and abuse, attacks and abuse

which had previously been unheard of when we were a strong and virile race that did not apologize for its own success. Indeed, it is a fact that crimes against our White people by the non-white races are far more numerous now that we have proclaimed them our "equals"; we were far more secure in our lives and our property as a people when we deemed them our *inferiors* and they knew it! (Individuals of inferior race are naturally far less likely to assault those whom they deem to be more *god* than man.) As a result of the "racial equality" lie, our people thus lose their value in their own eyes as well as in the eyes of their despoilers. We lose our respect for ourselves and the respect of others.

When stripped of the "politically correct" niceties and general dishonesty that rules the society of today, the underlying attitude of the black race toward the White in America is that of *extortion* and, conversely, the underlying attitude of the White Race toward the black is that of *appeasement*. The black race gets what it wants by always, at any moment, being ready to employ violence if it doesn't. It is not necessary that the threat be made out loud or even that it be made directly. Rather, it is enough that the black race has a history of spontaneous, impulsive violence that is prone to breaking out at any time, with no provocation based in logic or reason being necessary to set it off. Even with the liberal "equality" propaganda infecting his mind, the White Man is still sensible enough to view the black race as being inherently more violent than his own and the black race, for its part, certainly does nothing to disabuse the White Man of that assumption. Rather, the black race uses it to the hilt in order to convince the White Man that he really should give in to his demands . . . *or else*. Thus not only does the political system in America favor the black race (through so-called "affirmative action," for example) but the ever-present threat of violence from that quarter induces White people to sacrifice their *own* sense of justice, their *own* sense of right and wrong, and their *own* best interests to the demands, and *good humor*, of the black race. There is probably not a racially-integrated public school in America, for example, that has not witnessed the pathetic spectacle of a beleaguered White teacher putting up with grossly obnoxious behavior on the part of her black students that she never would have put up with in her White students in a million years. That is because the White teachers are afraid of coming down "too hard" on the blacks for fear that a kind of tribal, animalistic violence will be unleashed towards them as a result. Whites, on the other hand, are always *expected* to behave themselves better than blacks, the myth of "racial equality" notwithstanding. Whites are expected to be able to *control* themselves while their supposed "equals" are not. Blacks simply "can't help it" if they get worked up about the world around them; their impulse

control is deemed to be at a lower level by *everybody*. Still, they are deemed to be "equal" all the same because that is what the myth *says*.

Thus the perceived inherent violent nature of the black race extorts our White Race into conduct, as well as attitudes, that are against its own volition. It is probably difficult to find a White man anywhere who is afraid of the *debating skills* of the black man. It is, though, very easy to find White people who are afraid of the violent tendencies of blacks. That is proof enough that what is said here is true. There is a clash within the White Man's psyche between his liberal, brain-polluted education and that of his *instincts*. His (mis)education may well tell him all day that "all men are created equal" but his *instincts* tell him something very different. His "education" may cause him to conceive of an "equal" race all right but his instincts perceive a more *primitive* one, one where reason and logic rule the day less often than in his own. In any case, the net result of all of this is that blacks are allowed to throw their weight (and aggression) around in our society more than our own White people are. Not only does the black race have the benefit of an officially "equal" reputation that is totally undeserved, and which is contrary to all evidence, history, and logic, but the fear of that race by our own induces us to tolerate its destruction of our own culture and civilization from within. Our women are afraid to reject the sexual advances of the black man for fear of being called a "racist." The legal system refuses to prosecute blacks for hate crimes against Whites because that would imply that the supposedly innocent, "oppressed" black race is really not so "oppressed" after all. Fear is always part of the equation in one form or another and that fear always keeps us from looking out for our own best interests as a people. The black man ends up having *more* rights than the White. He has *more* freedom to be himself while White people, on the other hand, are always expected to accommodate him, believe in the legitimacy of his complaints, and disregard their own. The absurd theory of "racial equality" subjugates White people to their inferiors and is not even upheld for the White people themselves. Rather, the other races receive a privileged status in our society while we as White people abuse *each other*. The result, of course, is that our White race grows progressively weaker in its presence on this earth as it is attacked both from without and from within. Since we are not permitted to unite on racial grounds, we divide and conquer *ourselves*.

Notably, it is oftentimes the same (White) people who vehemently condemn the notion that the White Race is a superior race who are quick to proclaim that "America is the greatest country on earth" and other such "unequal" sentiments reflecting a belief in *American* superiority. The question is thus begged: why should we be willing to

proclaim the superiority of a *country* and not the superiority of a *race*? Why is the one so moral, wonderful, and expected while the other is so immoral, horrible, and outlandish? Countries are made up of *people*, after all, so why should we be so shy about proclaiming the greatness of our own *people*, White people? How can a *country* be worthy of our high regard, but not our own kind who inhabit it? How can we talk about the greatness of a *country* and despise the greatness of the *race* that made it great in the first place? Is there such a thing as a great country without a great people, and is there such a thing as great people without a great race? Is there anybody who would call an *uninhabited* country "great"? And what are countries composed of but *races*? It ought to be fairly self-evident that countries do not make *themselves* great. Rather, it takes *people* to make them great and people are composed of *races*. Great *races* create great *countries*. Inferior races create *inferior* countries. The proliferation of inferior races necessarily renders a country *no longer* "great." Common sense ought to tell people that, just as you cannot make a silk purse out of a sow's ear, you cannot make a great country if the raw material is not there, nor *preserve* its greatness if that raw material disappears or is overwhelmed, that the works of men necessarily depend upon *the quality of the men themselves*.

The failure of our White people to concede and embrace such basic, common sense facts causes them to forfeit the near-utopia that could have been theirs, substituting inevitable misery in its stead. Greatness, after all, is something that has to be *guarded*, not abandoned to happenstance. That guarding also happens to be an important part of a race's drive for *self-preservation*; races in Nature guard themselves in order to *preserve* themselves, and that includes the guarding of the particular societies that they have created for themselves. Intruders are *resisted*, not assisted. Thus a people that carelessly lets any and all other peoples and races into its country is one that has lost its drive to preserve itself and, unless it recovers that drive, through the force of necessity or otherwise, is doomed to extinction, quite simply. Our White people find themselves *cowed* today into allowing the non-white races to invade their countries, something that can only be called the freak-show disgrace that it truly is. The tacit assumption behind the idea that the non-white races have a "right" to enter our countries *is that White people have no right to preserve themselves, their culture, or their unique way of life*, an assumption that is as sickening as it is false, and yet the bizarre fact of the matter is that our people have embraced that assumption in its entirety! We wince when we are accused of not letting in enough non-white invaders. We meet them with rescue boats instead of gunships! Then, when the invaders are indeed allowed into our lands, our habitats, they quickly proceed to transform the

country into looking like the very hellholes that they came from, our White people yet again being cowed into capitulating, this time to the overthrow of their culture and civilization altogether at the hands of another race. Not only are we denied the right to determine who enters our own habitat in the first place, which is one of the most basic rights that a people could ever possess, but the invaders demand that they also be allowed to take it over after they have entered it, and if we refuse or even *complain* about this dispossession of our own kind, our own culture, and our own civilization, we are alleged to be the bad guys! One would be hard-pressed to find a time that was *more* sick in this regard. One would be hard-pressed to find any time in history where a race so willingly allowed itself to be removed from the face of the earth and where the mere *idea* of resistance to that removal was utterly condemned by the society in which it lives. Even when the non-white races invade us illegally, they are given lawyers to advocate for their "rights," for goodness sakes, upon their capture! Yes, a sickness has infected the psyche of our people, not only that they would, at least in some cases, give their wholehearted blessing to such an outrage but that they would fail to resist and destroy it with the courage and will of their forefathers and which their sheer instinct as living beings further demands. It would seem that no oppression, no subjugation, no humiliation of our kind today is too crass for us to tolerate; rather the outrages that we as a race endure are always deemed to be justified one way or the other since it is *White* people who are on the receiving end. No one has ever explained in the first place why it is that we should be forced to live with races we simply do not want to live with. No one has ever explained why we, as White people, should have to cater to the desires of everybody *but* ourselves. No one has ever explained why it is fine for the non-white races to have their *own* countries but *not* fine for White people to have *theirs*. No one has ever explained why a race should ever allow *other* races to determine its own destiny. Nobody has ever explained why a race should treat different races the same as it treats *itself*. These are all ideas that have been foisted upon the psyche of the White Man to his detriment. They are ideas that are hostile to his own preservation.

The White Man today finds himself in the bizarre predicament of being shamed into allowing his own annihilation. If, for example, he raises even a mild protest about his habitat being invaded by the non-white races, he is accused of "lacking compassion" for the supposed plight of "those merely seeking a better life." On the other hand, nobody ever complains about *the other races* "lacking compassion" for their own kind; rather, that is only the *White Man's* burden. Likewise, if he doesn't think that other races should have the same right to live in *his* society

as *he* does, he is "intolerant" and "full of hate." On the other hand, the other races freely exclude from their own societies whom they *please*, no criticism for that ever being forthcoming. If the White Man dares to proclaim that White lives matter, he is called a racist for not saying that *black* lives matter. If he believes that it is immoral for White women to give birth to non-white children, he is declared to be immoral himself. If he dares to assert that his own culture and civilization are superior to that which the non-white races have produced, he is "reminded" that his forefathers supposedly "oppressed" those races and thus whatever flaws they possess are "really" the fault of his own race. At every turn, the White Man is thus discouraged from doing anything that would help to preserve his own kind, his own culture, and his own civilization. *Black* lives matter, not White. *Black* culture should be celebrated, not White. Since the White Race supposedly "wronged the world" in the past, it is open season on the White Race *now*. The non-white races are encouraged to increase *their* political power in our society, and yet White people are condemned if they should seek to do the same *for their own kind*. It is considered a sad state of affairs for the non-white races to be a minority in our country but perfectly fine, and indeed desirable, that White people should become a minority in *theirs*. The non-white races are permitted and encouraged to colonize the lands where *we* live while the White Man's colonization of the non-white lands in the past is *condemned*. There are White liberals galore who spend their whole lives fretting about the (supposed) genocide of the non-white races in the past but when the genocide of the *White* Race *today* is at issue, they are nowhere to be found. The double standard is plain, obvious, and sickening; they are the times in which we live. They are anti-white preservation to the core; nor would the times themselves challenge that description. What's worse though is that our people are seemingly *content* with living in a world that has declared that they must *die* and that everything that they and their forefathers created must be *destroyed*, and without even a whimper at that. Again there is no comparison in world history with such a perverted state of affairs, a state of affairs through which our White people are persuaded to surrender their lands, their cultures, their neighborhoods, their bloodlines, and anything and everything else that was bequeathed to them by their forefathers, and which belongs to *them*. There is no precedent for an entire race being willing to be erased from the face of the earth and without even bothering to be upset about it, that sickness not only being a "normal" state of affairs for it but something to which it gives its hearty blessing.

What is remarkable is that our White people allowed themselves to be coaxed out of those values in the first place which are conducive to their own preservation. "Hate," for example, is not only a good emotion in some

circumstances but is absolutely necessary to preserve that which you love when that is under attack. "Intolerance" is obviously good as well if we are talking about preserving your own kind from those actions and attitudes which would destroy it. "Bias" is *beneficial* if the treatment of your own people is at issue; *of course* we should favor our own kind and disfavor those who are *not* of our own kind in all things and at all times. *Of course* we should favor our own people, not somebody else's, and not be indifferent between the two! These propositions are so basic and obvious that it is amazing that anybody ever contested them in the first place. They are a symbol of *health*, not sickness; of *vigor*, not decline. Healthy people both love *and* hate. Healthy people want to *preserve* what is theirs, not acquiesce in its destruction. Healthy people are *intolerant* of racial invaders, sexual perverts, and every other force which undermines their healthy, mutual, instinctive, and *racial* existence. Such sentiments are worthy of *applause*, not condemnation; of codification by law, not reproached with illegality. The White Man has never needed to justify wanting to live with his own people in the first place, nor for taking whatever measures he deems necessary to ensure the continuance of that situation. It is quite enough that we may not like having the other races around us to justify their exclusion from our world. We need no more justification than our own sentiments; we need heed no other call but that of our own instincts. The "feelings" of the other races, for their part, never mattered in the slightest. What matters instead is our will to preserve ourselves, our progeny, and our kind for all times to come. A mongrel culture is not our culture. A mongrel race is not our race. We have every right to preserve the purity of what we are and resist and defeat all that which would destroy that purity. Preservation of what we *are* is its own reward and we need offer nothing else.

Thus when the non-white races spew their grievances, we never had to care. When they asserted their "rights," we could have recognized their *wrongs*. When they demanded "equality" with us in our society, we could have proclaimed the *inequality* of all living things instead and the fact that no other race was ever entitled to be part of *our* society in the first place. Men are *not* created equal. Men are *not* interchangeable. Men are *not* of equal worth to one another. Rather, our race is better: to *us*. White humanitarianism, as dispensed to the other races, is *not* good. White racial forbearance, with regards to the desires of the other races, is *not* good either. It is that which preserves and advances *our own kind* which is good and that requires a land of our own, for our own, and by our own, exclusively. Our being on the defensive as a people is *bad*. Our apologizing to the other races for our history is *bad*. Our making way for the other races is *bad*. Our sharing with the other races is *bad*. Our equating the other

racess with ourselvess is *bad*. Our believing in a supposed "oneness" of humanity is *bad*. It is, rather, that which *distinguishes* our people from the others which preserves it. It is that which *elevates* our race which preserves it. It is that which *separates* our race from the other races which preserves it. It is that which *values* our race which preserves it. Preservation of what we are is good, not bad, and all that which *assists* in that preservation is *good*, too! No apologies for that assistance is ever necessary. It is, rather, part of our drive for preservation that we have the right to retain just as much as every other species on this earth. It is thus the case that all that which tends to preserve our White Race on this earth is good and that is the case no matter *what* the non-white races may feel about it. We only owe *ourselves*, not everybody else. It is our *kind* that determines who is our people. It is our *kind* that determines what is our country! There is no land that is worth more than that kind. There is no State that deserves our allegiance more than the race that we are!

These are the values that could have, and should have, been. It was never wrong for us to prefer our own race in all things and at all times. It was never wrong for us to "discriminate" in our own favor. It was never wrong for us to want to live in our own neighborhoods, our own communities, our own society, our own State, and our own country. It is, furthermore, not wrong to want these things *now*. The White man who helps the non-white races to invade our countries is a *traitor*, not a hero. The White man who fights for the so-called "rights" of the non-white races in our society is a *traitor*, not a saint! No one can possibly believe that the White Race is preserved through such actions. No one can possibly believe that it is in the best interests of the White Race, that we happen to be a part of, that we allow the other races to kill us, breed with us, befoul our culture, and replace us on this earth by virtue of their ever-encroaching presence in the lands in which we live. There is no justification for such conduct, no appeal from its consequences, and no reprieve from its punishment. We either do that which enables us to live and flourish as a race or we will continue to decline and perish. There is no such thing as an in-between scenario, nor should we desire such a scenario even were it to exist. Rather, it only makes sense that we should want the best for our own people just as we should want the best for our own families, and that we should let the other races of the world fend for themselves.

There is no particular reason to believe that values such as "tolerance," "love," or "compassion" are worthwhile in themselves; rather, like every other sentiment, their merit depends on the *context* of their usage and their specific *nature* in question. If, for example, someone were to be "tolerant" of the cockroach infestation of his

home, we would call him a *fool*, not a sensible person, and that is so regardless of whether he declared his “love for all of God’s creatures” as his justification for that tolerance. As another example, the child molester can declare his “love” for children all he wants. However, we do not “tolerate” his activities, nor should we, and when he is arrested most people sensibly deny him their *compassion*. Most people likewise would not “tolerate” the spread of plagues, would not “love” serial killers, and would not have “compassion” for the drug dealers on their street corners. Nor would they be condemned for their lack of such sentiments in the matters at hand. Thus it cannot be said that “tolerance,” “love,” and “compassion” are good values in themselves. Rather, they have their value, or lack thereof, depending upon their *effect* upon that which you care about. Not only do they have their limits but sometimes they are downright *harmful* feelings to have at a given time.

Most people, for example, would not “tolerate” themselves being murdered if they can help it. Rather their natural (and beneficial) drive for self-preservation would prohibit having such a “tolerance.” Likewise, most people do not “love” those who would plant bombs in their cities. Rather, the fact that individuals would do such a thing forfeits such a positive sentiment in those who would have suffered by virtue of their actions had they been successful. Likewise, most people do not have “compassion” for serial rapists and that is *good*, not bad. The problem regarding our White people is that they have allowed clever con-artists to convince them that intolerance, hatred, and a lack of compassion regarding the presence and actions of the other races within their society is always *bad*, that we should be “tolerant” of the ever-increasing presence of the non-white races within our own habitat, that we should “love” them, and that we should have “compassion” for them regardless of the effect upon *ourselves*. However, that is no more sensible than with the examples just cited. *Rather, the values of tolerance, love, and compassion are bad anytime they harm our own racial preservation, quite simply.* Thus “tolerance” is *not* good if it hurts our White Race. Thus “love” is *not* good if it hurts our White Race. Thus “compassion” is *not* good if it hurts our White Race. We do not love the child molester because he harms our children. We do not tolerate the rapist because he harms our women. We do not have compassion for the serial killer because he harms our people. The same then should apply in regards to the White Man’s dealings with the other *races*; it is the effect upon our own racial *preservation* that ought to determine whether a value or sentiment is good or not, and in order to preserve our *own* people, we simply cannot afford to tolerate, love, and have compassion for other, rival races who have their *own* best interests in mind, not ours. The black man, for example, is not thinking about what is best for *White*

people; if there is someone who thinks otherwise, let him be sold a bridge! The yellow man is not thinking about what is best for *White* people, nor does the brown man, the Arab, or the Jew. Rather, it is up to the White Man to care about *himself* and to reserve his tolerance, his love, and his compassion for *himself*, as he pleases. These emotions are *never* good when they are applied to the non-white races; they are, in fact, largely responsible for the plight that our White people face today. Any and every emotion only has merit in relation to something *else* instead of being good or bad for its own sake; there is no such thing as a particular emotion that is always good, or always bad for that matter. To hold to the contrary is to hold that the emotion matters more than life itself, for it is simply not always the case that pleasant emotions are conducive towards preserving the individual and his race at the given moment in time. Sometimes intolerance, hatred, and malice are *vital* if the individual or his race wish to continue to live. Such emotions are, in fact, a means to that end, their arising in the first place as a result of the drive for preservation with which every creature is imbued. Both love and hate have their place in the healthy organism depending upon the circumstances in which it and its *kind* find themselves. One emotion preserves the race “pleasantly” and the other preserves it “unpleasantly” and that is all.

That our White people have been suckered out of understanding and accepting these basic facts is, of course, part of the sickness of our times. We have been guilted out of having those emotions and sentiments which are necessary and healthy for the preservation of our own kind. We have *tolerated* actions and policies that lead to the destruction of that kind. We have loved races that are happy to crowd us off the face of the earth. We have had compassion for the welfare of the other races, leading us to compromise our own welfare, and future, in the process. The result is a world that is overwhelmed with colored races, that is *depopulated* of our *own* race, and where our own race has lost its drive to preserve itself. Our tolerance, love, and compassion for the other races has only destroyed the natural population balance of the world and set our own kind on the road to extinction. It may sound nice to “love everybody” but that sentiment has consequences, consequences that are distinctly negative for the White Race that we happen to be a part of. Tolerance of harm to our own people makes no sense regardless of whatever sugar demagogues would like to coat it with. All emotions are merely *tools*, and like tools they can be used for either good or bad.

We have thus been robbed as a people of the sentiments and emotions that are natural to us, and yet hardly any of us are aware of that fact. Our people thus go about their daily lives thinking that it is “normal” for them to

defer to the judgment of the other races and their demands, “normal” for them to sympathize with their complaints, “normal” for them to forsake their *own* best interests as a people, and even “normal” for them to take their *side*. Such things, however, are not “normal” at all. They are, rather, the result of our own debasement, our own confusion, our own weakness, and hence our own sickness. Our natural conscientiousness as a race has been turned against us to the point where we have become unnatural creatures in every way, indeed *anti-natural*, by virtue of the fact that we are resolved to care about the other races at the expense of our *own*. Thus we feel bad when we have “racist” thoughts even though *all* natural creatures have racist thoughts. Thus we chastise ourselves for being bothered about the racial integration of our neighborhoods even though we are well aware that such integration is *always* harmful to the tranquility of those neighborhoods. Thus we rebuke ourselves for the lack of “equality” suffered by the non-white races even though a cursory observation of Nature would tell us that there is no such thing as naturally occurring “equality” between races in the first place. Thus we have a *bad conscience* about our own inherent superiority as a race and go about undermining it. In sum, our White people lambast themselves out of having normal feelings and emotions when it comes to race, as well as generally for that matter, and destroy their natural drive to preserve their own kind in the process. We are, after all, the only race that feels *bad* about a lack of racial diversity in this or that geography or in this or that endeavor. We are the only race that feels bashful about admitting our wanting to live with our own kind! Why on earth though should we ever be apologetic about wanting to live with *our own people*? Why have we let ourselves be deprived of those feelings that come naturally to us just as they come to every other creature? Why do we have to justify our preference for our own people as neighbors and countrymen? Why must we suppress our *own* instinctive likes and dislikes in this world in favor of somebody else’s whims? Why must we imprison our own feelings? If you say that you don’t want to live around the non-white races, how does that make you a bad person? If you say that you would like to live in an all-White country, how does that make you immoral? Don’t we, as White people, have the right to have our own country as much as anybody else? Don’t we have the right to have our own preferences, which are, after all, a reflection of our own *sentiments*? Again, it is important to understand why emotions arose in creatures in the first place: to help enable them to *survive* in the struggle for life into which every creature upon this earth is cast. Therefore, should we happen to harbor an emotion that goes *against* that survival, whether in the short or in the long term, and whether that pertains to the individual or to his race, we will have defeated the purpose for which emotions came into being.

Emotions are a *survival mechanism* for that which you hold dear, which in the natural world is one's *race* and *only* one's race; to allow our emotions to go *against* the survival of that race therefore thwarts their purpose and the Nature that provided them to us. We negate their value to our ultimate downfall.

When a people no longer feels itself free to preserve *itself*, it is on the road to extinction. When a people feels that it must apologize to others should it even *express* such a preference, its time on this earth is short. No one would think about criticizing the other races for preferring *themselves*, so why should we criticize ourselves for preferring *ourselves*? We shouldn't. A presidential candidate is hideously rebuked by the news media for merely proposing that a wall be built on the southern border of the U.S.A. and for a moratorium to be placed on all (non-white) Muslim immigration. How though can that possibly be? Such a thing would never have been possible were our times not so sick. After all, do we not have the right to decide who enters "our" country? Are we instead hostages to the desires of illegal Mexicans and fecund Muslims? Is our own will meaningless? Do the other races have the right to invade our land as they please? What kind of craziness is it that we would worry about "offending" people who don't live here and have no right to live here! And yet that is the sickness that our White people are suffering from today. If there are Mexicans and Muslims upset with us about the prospect that they will no longer be allowed into the country, our natural and normal response is that they can go to *hell*, not that we "feel their pain." The normal sentiment of a race is that it should care about itself and what *it* wants, not every race *but* itself and what *they* want. The entire idea of a race renouncing its own best interests is *unnatural*; no race in Nature *ever* does it, nor should we, the reason being that such a thing destroys the race in question. The struggle for existence is difficult enough as it is for a race to engage in the idiotic practice of handicapping itself as well.

There is thus no such thing as the long-term preservation of our White Race under the reign of so-called "liberal" sentiments, and the strongest evidence for that fact is the retreat, degradation, and destruction of our race that is occurring today under the sway of those sentiments. So-called "liberal democracy" is in fact hostile to the drive to preserve our own kind in its entirety and must therefore be destroyed lest our race be destroyed in the meantime. There is no such thing as a successful "live and let live" racially-inclusive attitude in our people any more than there is in the other creatures of Nature's realm; "live and let live," applied irrespective of one's own racial benefit, actually means "live and let *die*" with our own White Race doing the dying. That is very convenient of course for the non-white races who subsequently possess the ruins of our civilization, treading on the soil that we

used to call our own. However, it is not convenient for *us*. It is not convenient for our enslaved children. It is not convenient for our extinguished culture. If these things matter to us, as they should, we simply have to replace the current order of things with one that *preserves* our kind, not destroys us.

Our White people of today are thus suffering from a severe myopia in that they would allow threats to their own continued survival that are totally unnecessary and which should be obvious to anybody who is willing to look beyond his own life span, for how can anybody expect a White Race that will have become a *minority* everywhere it lives to be secure in its life, its liberty, and its pursuit of happiness? Exactly what is to stop the non-white races from simply killing us all when they have the power to do it, power that we ourselves stupidly bestowed upon them? Will the non-white races be as “liberal” to us as we were “liberal” to them? There is no reason to believe so. In fact, our experience in Haiti, Rhodesia, South Africa, and other places shows quite clearly that “liberalism” is *not* meted out to us when we lose our power. Rather, theft, rape, and murder are our fate. If non-white neighborhoods are “bad neighborhoods,” as the euphemism goes, it makes little sense for us as White people to allow the whole world to become one big “bad neighborhood.” And yet that is exactly what we are doing. *We fail to realize that the races of men can only create a world that coincides with their own respective natures* and that if we really want a better world from our own perspective, we need more of our *own* people in it and *less* of the others. We need the other races to *depopulate*, not multiply. It makes little sense for us as a race to complain about the ever-increasing number of “bad neighborhoods” in the country when our “tolerance,” “love,” and “compassion” regarding the presence and welfare of the non-white races are the forces that made that problem possible in the first place. If you are afraid to walk about in a black neighborhood, don’t contribute to the proliferation of black neighborhoods. If you don’t want to be forced to learn mestizo Spanish, don’t keep letting mestizos into your country. If you don’t want a high crime rate, don’t subsidize and let into your country the very races that commit a lot of crimes. All of this should be obvious and yet our people fail to see it. They have lost all understanding of the basic principle of cause and effect, that the *effects* that they do not like here and there have *causes* and that they themselves are all too often responsible for those causes due to their letting them occur in the first place.

Yes, the laws over us today are horrible too in that they are fundamentally hostile to the continued preservation of our White Race. However, those laws can be changed, and if necessary overthrown, if we have the will to do it. The problem is that we have lost that will; the solution is that we get it back. No law can stand up

against a people that has become aroused and fanatically devoted to its own preservation in defiance of the law. Rather, the law will go in short order. It is thus a mistake to think that laws which are hostile to our continued racial preservation are the true cause of the plight of our people today. Rather, the true cause of that plight is our lack of *will*, our lack of *drive* to preserve our own kind law or no law. An inflamed, determined, and united race can and will overcome those laws which aim at or tolerate its destruction. However, should that race *itself* instead no longer care about its own preservation, whatever hostile laws exist will only quicken the pace of its demise and give cover to those who lacked the heart to fight for the future of their White Race in the first place. Even with the White traitors who hold public office today, their tune would quickly change if there were thousands and millions of angry White loyalists arrayed against them. *There is no government power that can defeat the will of a people to preserve itself.* Rather, that power can only have an effect when the people themselves have already ceased caring about their own continued racial existence, which is the situation that we have today. In that case, every hostile law or decree that is passed has the *tolerance* of our people to their own eventual misfortune, and nothing can be done to remedy matters unless we straighten out the thinking of that people which caused the bizarre phenomenon of our racial renunciation to occur in the first place. It is people who change *laws*, not laws which change *people*, and thus if we are to reestablish in our White Race its drive to preserve itself, it is the minds of our own *people* that we must focus on, not the laws whose effectiveness is merely a consequence of those destructive attitudes that already exist within us. The sickness of our racial *resignation* must be combatted, something that is indeed sick because it fails to preserve the *life* of our race. Just as sickness may cause an individual person to die, sickness may cause a *race* to die, and it is up to those who would want to avert that outcome to remove the causes of the sickness itself.

It is not surprising that the drive to preserve our own kind is so low within our people today when you consider the fact that the educational system that teaches our children does absolutely nothing to instill a sense of pride in our being part of the White Race. Nothing is done whatsoever to inculcate within our children love for their own kind as the unique and precious entity that it is, using its achievements throughout history as shining examples to explain why our race matters so much. Instead our children are simply bombarded with facts and figures and rote memorization for its own sake without any purpose for all of this knowledge being offered at all to the young mind that receives it. All sense of a White racial community that is united by blood is omitted from the lesson plans; indeed, were a teacher anywhere to actually teach such a thing, he or she would be immediately fired for “racism”

and for “offending” people, and yet the very purpose of the advent of public education in the first place was to help build the very sense of a racial, ethnic *community* that is today so hideously disdained. Without our children being taught to value their own bloodlines and the need to preserve same, it is hardly surprising that they would not value them in later life. As long as our children are taught to be merely atomized “individuals,” wholly bereft of any racial significance, their minds will be molded to disregard the value of preserving the *race* that should, by all rights, live long after them.

Here again there can be no such thing as a “neutral” stance taken in regards to race: if racial feeling is *not* taught in our young people, the effect upon them is not “neutral” but rather one which is hostile to their very existence. Children must be raised and educated to *value* their own kind; mere regard for an amorphous “humanity” doesn’t inculcate a drive to preserve our own people and its works *at all*. Our children today are taught the blessings of a raceless “freedom” that doesn’t even preserve the freedom of their White Race to continue existing on this earth, in its own land, and spared from assaults upon its birthright. Such a teaching is worse than no teaching at all, for the instincts of our young people would otherwise guide them in the proper direction on their own: that their race *matters*, that it is worth more than any other, and that the purpose of life itself is to preserve and advance one’s own kind in its struggle for life! The moment that a so-called “education” assumes the posture that race does not matter, in its attempt to treat all men “the same,” it becomes an enemy of our drive for self-preservation. It can teach all the facts and figures it wants but it does more harm than good since it has failed in the most basic educative mission of all: that our race is worth preserving. It is little wonder why our young people suffer from so much confusion, lack of focus, lack of purpose, and general hopelessness in their lives today when the educational system has so utterly failed to provide them with a purpose to those lives: that their mission on this earth is to defend and improve the existence of their kind and *that* is why they are being educated and nothing else! Only that kind of idealism can provide the young person with the *raison d’être* for the countless hours of his studies and labors that he so desperately needs, for if he instead views his education as a mere personal pursuit made for the benefit of himself alone, it is highly doubtful that he will deem it to be worth all the trouble.

The racially integrated classroom, for its part, is *itself* an attack upon the duty of education to instill pride and love for the White Race in our children because it pressures teachers to disregard White advocacy so as not to hurt the feelings of the non-white students. (No doubt that was part of the diabolical intent of those who forced the

racial integration of the schools in the first place.) How is a teacher, gazing out at a classroom composed of various races, supposed to be able to teach White self-pride, White self-value, and White self-esteem in her White students? Instead, the impact of race in world history, as far as civilization building is concerned, is discounted in order to placate everybody. Nor is the teacher even able to use the word “we” in her discussion of the accomplishments of our forefathers since the non-white races were obviously not part of that “we”; thus our young people are denied their natural and normal connection with their own people of the past and lose their very identity as part of the great, eternal race that they are. The child is educated as an “individual” instead of the White partisan that we need him to be. He doesn’t value the continued survival of his White Race because he has been taught that we are “all the same,” that “race doesn’t matter,” and, if anything, that the White Race is a race of cruel “oppressors” who have done great harm to the world. It is thus a mistake to ignore what is going on right now in our schools when what goes on there is so devastating to the drive of our race to preserve itself. It is easy to forget about the harmful effect of a racially-integrated, anti-White education when many years have passed since we ourselves were subjected to it. That we ourselves turned out all right means only that the harmful education in the public schools does not succeed in ruining *everybody*. However, it ruins countless others all the same. The natural racial feeling that exists in our young people is driven *out* of them. They become carriers of the virus of White self-hatred and, in turn, all too often themselves attack all that which is necessary for the continued survival of their own race on this earth in their later lives. In sum, our young people’s minds are being formed so as to disregard, and even *oppose*, the continued existence of their own kind on this earth. Their minds are being formed so as to take the side of the non-white races against the best interests of their own people. It is silly then to be surprised when their drive for self-preservation is weak later on when they have the means to fight for the future of their kind and resist its destruction, but do not. As always, the task before us is thus to cure the *mind* so that the action may follow, and restore the natural *instincts* within our people which have been so badly suppressed. Those natural instincts are always *for* one’s own race, not against it, nor are they ever “neutral” or “colorblind.” To teach our young people to be “neutral” towards their own race is racial *idiocy*, not racial justice.

If raceless “education” were not bad enough, our drive for self-preservation is weakened further by the homosexuality movement which attacks the notion of our race propagating itself altogether. The proliferation of homosexuality, including so-called “gay marriage,” destroys all sense of the true meaning and value of marriage, of

family, and of children in our people, replacing that with a perverse hedonism that flies in the face of Nature. Nor is it some kind of coincidence that it is the White Race, and *only* the White Race, which is being heavily targeted by the homosexuality movement, for it is the White Race alone which is today being targeted for destruction. Obviously the White Race is not preserved through the commission of homosexual acts but, rather, through White men and women producing bountiful amounts of *children*, and that regardless of the “economy,” “career,” or other artificial concerns which militate against the healthy and unencumbered expression of the sexual instinct, something that is inherent in all of Nature’s animate creatures. Thus “birth control” is likewise an enemy of our drive to preserve our own kind today regardless of whatever justification for its usage that can no doubt be offered. When White children are not being born by the millions, whether due to the proliferation of the homosexuality perversion, birth control pills, or outright infanticide (“abortion”), that is a *problem*, not something that those who would like to preserve their race can ignore. Anything that inhibits the continual replenishment of a race of its numbers on this earth is a danger to that race’s continued *existence*, quite simply. It is, furthermore, an attack upon its drive for *self-preservation* and so is, of course, the very willingness to partake in it. Our racially-conscious brethren can complain about the presence and actions of the non-white races until they are blue in the face but if they themselves artificially restrict the growth of their own kind, they themselves are contributing to the demise of that kind at the hands of the ever-expanding non-white populations. The White Race needs *babies* and there is simply no time for our people to waste being sexual deviants, pill-poppers, or abortionists, all of which are activities that stop those babies from being born. The “me, me, me” attitude does not preserve a race, especially a race that is under assault from all sides the way ours is today.

Hedonism is itself hostile to the continued preservation of our White Race because it sacrifices concern for the *future* for the pleasure and convenience of the individual at the *moment*. It is the abandonment of racial responsibility in favor of the pleasure of the individual who has become detached from that race and hence is artificial for that reason. There are, in the end, only natural actions or artificial ones, and it is the *natural* actions alone that fulfill a race’s drive to preserve itself absolutely, without compromise, and without flaw. It is *Nature* that provides every race with its drive for self-preservation, and thus if *Nature* is resisted, that drive is resisted too. We as a race can always find ways to thwart Nature in the short term but in the long run Nature will have its vengeance, including the demise of our kind itself if we fail to rectify our error in time. Conduct which does not expand the

numbers of a race is necessarily *bad* for that race, at least until the preservation of that race is otherwise assured. The joint evils of non-white *population* and White *de-population* are an obvious threat to our continued preservation that must mutually be halted if our race is to live.

If we were to encounter a farmer who raised animals, some of whom were homosexuals, dispersed “birth control” pills to some of the others, and aborted the pregnancies of some of the others still, none of us would hesitate to call him a ridiculous and abject fool, and yet we are the bigger fools today for putting up with the same things in our own White Race. We would call the farmer a fool for stupidly reducing his own profit, and yet we ourselves are reducing the profit of *our own White Race*, though in a different sense. We would expect the farmer to pursue a more sensible course of conduct and yet we would deny *ourselves* that sensible conduct. Who then is the bigger fool? The three vices stated are playing a significant role in erasing our own stock from the face of the earth, after all! It doesn’t matter what reason may be given for their practice; all that matters is their effect upon our continued racial existence. It may be an easy thing today to declare a “freedom” to partake in the three vices that artificially dwindle the numbers of our kind, but if and when the day comes when the last remnant of our people on this earth finds itself cornered and exterminated at the hands of the non-white hordes, it may well cross the minds of its members that it sure would have been nice if there had been more White people around so as to avoid such a horrible and tragic outcome. Just as there are only natural or artificial actions in this world, a race is either in the *ascent* or it is in the *decline*, and a race must *breed* in order to be in the *ascent*. A race that tolerates the dwindling of its own numbers, vis-à-vis the numbers of the other races, will eventually be overwhelmed by those races that do not make the same mistake. In the struggle for life, it simply does not matter, again, what excuse is made for this action or that; all that matters is whether the activity in question is beneficial or harmful to the continued presence of the race in question upon this earth, and if our people are driven today to be homosexuals, consumers of pills that cut down on their own propagation, or killers of their own unborn children, obviously our drive for the preservation of our race has become *weak*, not strong. It is hard to imagine that a race that has become riddled with homosexuals, for instance, would be in existence for very long. Our people, indoctrinated to think only of the individual and only those of the present time at that, fail to consider the racial consequences of those actions that they would defer to the choice of the individual alone. The result of course is a race that cuts down the trees of its own forest and sets fire to its own future. There really is such a thing as seed that is *wasted* and we cannot afford to waste the seed of our

continued Racial Life. In the normal and natural course of things, a race with a burgeoning population has no interest in cutting that back through the commission of artificial conduct, nor does it tolerate such conduct where it rears its head; rather, it deals with that expanding population by expanding its territory into new *geography* where its growth will continue unabated. That is the *healthy* course of action for our White people to take in dealing with their own numbers instead of those actions which we are witnessing today, actions that only sap our continued presence on this earth as the race that we are and which reflect the weakening of our drive for self-preservation in its own right. The healthy course of action for a healthy race is to expand *geographically* when its numbers have become overpopulated in the territories where it lives, not artificially restrict its birthrate within those territories and then invite in the other races to make up the difference! The latter is racial *suicide*, not racial preservation, and if we are to reverse the present drive of our White Race towards that suicide, we must breed without any artificial restrictions once again and populate the earth. It is *our own sons* who must provide the labor that we seek for our economic benefit, not those of the non-white races, and it is *our daughters* who must give birth to the next generation of our racial countrymen! Anything else is a failure to do our duty to our own kind in its drive for preservation.

It should not be deemed bizarre to state the obvious fact that the purpose of the male anatomy is to inseminate that of the *female*, that the purpose of copulation is *pregnancy*, and that the purpose of pregnancy is *childbirth*, and yet the sickness of our times is such that basic truths such as these are thrown to the winds in favor of a general free-for-all of idiocy, perversion, and destruction. The reality is that those creatures which do not reproduce themselves have failed in the most basic duty that can be conceived: the continuance of the *race*. The failure of that duty is not something to bless or encourage, but rather to *despise*. The very hyper-individualism that is promoted to our people today is an attack upon our drive for self-preservation. The curtailment of our own propagation as a people is nothing other than racial denial, racial withdrawal, and racial retreat. When people speak out against homosexual “marriage,” they miss the point; it is not the “marriage” that is the problem so much as the perversion of the natural order of things itself. It is *that* which must be attacked, not granted a dignity to which it is unentitled. *Of course* that conduct which propagates the race is superior to that which doesn’t, and which flies in the face of Nature otherwise. *That* is the true complaint, not a “marriage” license that is simply a *joke* due to the particular “marrying” involved. *Of course* a woman who bears children is a more desired member of our White

Race than one who doesn't since it is that childbearing which continues our race into the future. *Of course* a woman should not "abort" her healthy and normal White children, thus thwarting her natural role and mission on this earth: the replication of our own kind. As always, unless a race thinks *racially*, it cannot be expected to have healthy attitudes about, or even understand, what basic things such as copulation, pregnancy, and childbirth are all about. If it does so all the same, that is merely good fortune for which we can all be glad, something though which is in extremely short supply today. "Barefoot and pregnant," as the old saying goes, is not such a bad thing for the race that would like to continue its existence on this earth. "Booted and *barren*" is far worse, indeed!

The very fact that our people are reluctant to offer any criticism at all of the various ways in which the propagation of their White Race is being drastically curtailed today is indicative of the weakening of their drive for self-preservation, for if we were committed to the survival of our own kind as we should be, we would certainly spare no words in rebuking and disdaining all that which works against the continued survival of that kind, whether it is partaken in with that specifically in mind or not. Instead we find ourselves bashful about speaking even a single word against those actions which would drive our people from the face of the earth. We whine and complain about a few thousand soldiers lost in the various overseas, no-win wars launched by a stupid and traitorous government but we say nary a word about the tens of millions, and even more, of those who have been lost to our race as a result of those actions which prevented them from having been born in the first place. The casualties from the wars in question are almost *laughable* in comparison but since our people fail to think *racially*, they fail to see, predictably enough, that the loss of individuals in their adulthood is not the only loss that a race can suffer, that actions which would prevent White children from being born *at all*, and by the countless millions at that, can be far, far worse a calamity for us to suffer. When our White people are killed in combat overseas, the only loss perceived by our people today is to the individuals dead, to their families, and "to the country." The loss to the *race*, however, is not perceived in that situation there or anyplace else for that matter. In any case, the alleged "freedom" to destroy our own kind is ever busy, and thus homosexuality, birth control, and abortion, along with those other vices that are harmful to our continued racial existence on this planet, continue merrily along, unchecked, as the representation of a much-lauded triumph of a supposedly worthwhile "freedom" to which we should all allegedly aspire. We are urged to rejoice in such freedom all the while the existence of our race is whittled down at an ever increasing pace; indeed, it does not go too far to say that so-called "freedom" itself has become nearly synonymous today with the

racial *decline* of our people, that the very “freedom” that our people have in mind is all too often acquiescence of that conduct which would rid our precious White Race from the face of this earth instead of that which would preserve it and make it better. If “freedom” is to ever again be a value for our people that is worth our fighting and dying for, that situation must assuredly be turned around one day altogether, and should once again mean a state of affairs which *preserves* our race, not destroys it, for otherwise the word will have ceased to reflect a state of affairs that is worthy of our yearning for in the first place. Before a race bothers itself with the freedom of the individuals that compose it to do this and that, it must first secure its own freedom to *exist* and be secure in that *existence*. On the other hand, those alleged “freedoms” which gradually destroy a race altogether can hardly be deemed worthwhile to that race which would like to continue in its existence and not be consigned to the dustbin of history. We live in a time of many “freedoms,” all right, but what is the *effect* of those freedoms? That is a question that is nearly never asked and it is never asked because our people do not realize that freedom, as with every other aspect of our individual lives, is a mere *means* to an end and not the end in itself: the preservation of our kind.

As always, our people suffer from the horrible shortsightedness that we have mentioned. They have no comprehension of the fact that their preservation as mere individuals today by no means assures the preservation of their descendants, and that of their race as a whole, tomorrow. They would allow threats to that continued preservation to crop up at every turn, having no clue at all as to what kind of hideous life they are foisting upon their future generations as a result of their own careless, negative, and negligent actions. It is easy to be welcoming of the immigration of various non-white “minorities” when White people are the heavy majority in the country, for instance, but what is going to happen when the non-white “minority” has overtaken the White population in numbers and gets to call the shots accordingly? The problem is that our thinking doesn’t get that far; indeed, even when the non-white races have become the *majority* here and there, our people still insist upon calling them “minorities”! And even when it is understood that our White people are speedily becoming the minority everywhere they live, they make the absurd assumption that the non-white *majority* is going to treat us as well as we have treated *them* when they have the power, an assumption that is entirely without support in the history of the world. The non-white races are in fact *devoid* of the ideas of political liberalism that the White Man has carried about so loftily within his breast and so foolishly bestowed upon the rest of the world, and thus it is the case that political liberalism will *not* be bestowed upon the White Man by the non-white races whom he has aided and abetted should they be

allowed to attain power over *him*. The values of “tolerance,” “inclusion,” and “colorblindness” will be nowhere to be found when the White Man has lost the ability to enforce them, for these are values which are the sincere expression of the White Man’s psyche alone. Put another way, the black man, for example, couldn’t care less about any such thing as “minority rights” when it is *White* people who are the minority and they, the black race, the majority. Arab Muslims, for their part, couldn’t care less about the so-called “tolerance” of other religions when their Islam rules the *State*. The yellow man does not want “diversity” when his *own* race is at issue. Rather, it is only the *White Man* who foolishly wants such things for himself and pushes them within his own society until his society *is not his anymore*. Then he is genuinely stunned by the turn of events that he has caused himself. He fails to understand that politically liberal values are *unnatural* values and as unnatural values, they are *harmful* values to all those who are foolish enough to embrace them. They attack the instinctive drive to preserve our own *kind* that lies within us, suppressing it with an idiotic, raceless altruism that ignores the reality of the world as it is.

Instead of it being some kind of bizarre “triumph” when a White couple adopts a non-white baby for instance, it is a *perversion* of the natural order of things, an order where no creature *ever* intentionally raises the young of a race that is not its own. As another example, instead of it being “good” to welcome non-white “refugees” into our own racial habitat as some kind of illustration of how “benevolent” we supposedly are, it is the needless infliction of a threat to our own continued existence as a people now and into the future. Likewise, instead of it being “good” that we ship food and medicine to the non-whites of the world, it is *bad* because that grotesquely inflates their numbers and creates a threat to our own existence that would have been minimal otherwise. In our White people today there is thus the prevalence of values which are totally detached from the reality of the actual world that we live in, and an attack upon those values is necessary in order for us, as White people, to *keep* living in it. As such, the values *themselves* are bad, not good. That which destroys the natural order of things that enables our race to survive is always *bad* regardless of whatever propaganda is employed to convince our people otherwise.

It has been said that “a liberal is a White man who hasn’t been mugged yet.” There is much truth in that statement, to be sure, but it is far more of a problem when political liberalism *itself* as a “value” would result in millions of our race one day being mugged, raped, and murdered en masse and would likewise tear asunder the presence and prosperity of our kind upon this earth as a whole. Bad values have bad *consequences* and it is unnecessary that those bad consequences immediately manifest themselves in order for them to occur all the same,

their lying in wait ready to strangle the life of our people in the future. It is unnecessary that the non-white races rape our sisters and our daughters as soon as they get off the boat for that to occur all the same one day. It is unnecessary for the entire civilization to break down *now* for the seeds to be sown today for that eventual collapse. Our White people do not comprehend that today because they are trained, almost like circus animals, to think only about the moment. However, the future will come all the same. It is not something that can be wished away in favor of some kind of everlasting present. Political liberalism, however, could practically be *defined* as a doctrine that takes *no heed* of the future altogether, one that would posit that “rights” matter more than *future*, namely, the future of one’s own people. The only sensible policy then is for White people to adopt *anti-liberal* values, values that, by virtue of that very fact, are concerned *more* with the future than with that of the immediate present and which understand that the most basic duty of society of all is to *preserve* the race which inhabits it, not allow its demise regardless of whatever excuse can be given for so doing. Absolutely nothing good is accomplished for the White Race as a race by its allowing other races to inhabit its territory, and from a racial standpoint, the only standpoint that has any value in the first place, that is really the only thing that matters when we judge the worth of those “values” that would allow such a thing to happen. Thus all of the ideas of “freedom,” “tolerance,” “diversity,” and whatever others that political liberalism would extol happen to be values of utterly no worth should they be outside the context of our own racial preservation and advancement; indeed, they become harmful the moment they disregard same, which is often if not always the case. Thus the man who is dedicated to the preservation and advancement of his White Race is not swayed by the arguments of political liberalism since political liberalism does not share his concern for that race. The political liberal wants to talk about “the (supposed) rights of all men” within the habitat where White people live regardless of their race, whereas the White racial preservationist is devoted to the principle that White people have the right, and indeed duty, to preserve their own *existence*, any so-called “right” of anybody to the contrary be damned. The political liberal acts as though there is such a thing as universal, objective justice for all peoples and races whereas the White racial preservationist understands that justice is racially *subjective*, that there is only that which is just for his *race* and that which is *not*. We are not interested in some kind of metaphysical justice that lurks somewhere beyond cloud nine; rather, justice is that which enables our own people to survive and thrive as we define it, and would want it, for *ourselves*.

Thus when we consider the sickness of the times in which we live today as far as the lessening of our drive for self-preservation as a race is concerned, what we are really talking about, at root, is the ability of harmful, destructive attitudes to attack that drive, and that is so regardless of the source of those attitudes. If, for example, someone believes that racial intermarriage (mongrelization) is morally acceptable and thus does not care that his own White Race is destroyed in the process, that attitude obviously came from someplace and it doesn't much matter where; it is a weakening of that person's drive for the preservation of his own kind all the same regardless of why that has occurred. The fact that somebody might claim that the true issue involved is that of the "rights of the individual," for its part, is really only a sad reflection of the fact that the drive of that person to preserve his own kind has already been severely weakened; in other words, there would be no talk about an individual's supposed "right" to mongrelize his White Race if the drive for racial preservation in those who would assert that proposition had not already been attacked and weakened by some source. Rather, there would be concern about the preservation of the *race* and a *non*-recognition of any supposed "right" to engage in those actions which would destroy it. Talk about "individual rights" is *itself* indicative of a certain weakening of the racial will to live in our people; subsequent talk then about the supposed "right" of an individual to go out and *breed* with an individual of different race can thus only be more so. When a people is committed to its own racial preservation, when its drive for self-preservation is thus *strong*, it does not recognize any such thing as a "right" of its individuals to mongrelize the race that they all share. Rather it condemns such a monstrosity with full, absolute, and unequivocal force. When the drive for preservation in a race is strong, it is not the rights of the individual that are its main concern. Rather, it is the right of the race to continue *existing* that takes precedence before all things. Nor is its focus on "individual rights" in general; rather it recognizes and extols its collective racial existence first and foremost.

The very idea of "individual rights" hence has no worth outside of a racially preservative context to those who would want their White Race to retain its drive for that preservation. We do not acknowledge any "right" of the individual to partake in actions which would destroy the presence of his own kind upon the face of this earth. There is no "right" of the individual to commit actions which eliminate the right of the race to *live*. Focus on the individual means a *non*-focus on the race and must therefore be rejected for that reason. The drive for racial preservation requires the regulation of *breeding* just as much as any other conduct that societies routinely and justly regulate.

Basic common sense dictates that, if there is no "right" of the individual to marry his *sister*, there is no "right" of the individual to marry an individual of a different race altogether. Indeed, there can only be less of such a right since the destruction of the actual race is involved, unlike the situation with brother and sister marriage which sometimes damages the individual *genes* of course but not the preservation of the *race* itself. The fact that *nearly everyone* in our race would still support a ban on brother/sister marriage today but relatively *few* would support a ban on interracial marriage anymore only goes to further illustrate the sickness of our times, that the drive to preserve our own kind is *weak*, indeed non-existent, under the influence of those horrible values which would attack our will to preserve our kind at every turn. The courts can say that there is a "right" to mongrelize our race all they want but those edicts would mean nothing in the face of the fanatical resistance of a race that refuses to tolerate its own destruction. Television, Hollywood, "social media," or any other entity could encourage interracial breeding all they want with their words and images but that would mean nothing if our people had not already had their drive for racial preservation undermined by the values of political liberalism and those values that, in turn, made political liberalism possible. Yes, those entities damage our drive for racial preservation greatly in their own right; however, they were not the forces that began the damage. That rests with the values that preceded those forces: political liberalism and those values that made political liberalism *itself* possible.

Indeed, had the cult of "individual rights" not been allowed to form in the first place as a result of the weakening of our drive for racial preservation, the two most destructive visual entities that have addled the brains as well as the natural instincts of our people for generations, television and Hollywood, would never have been allowed to get off the ground as the conduits of negative influence that they are in the first place. Instead, they would have educated and sustained our White people *in racial values*, values that recognize and extol the preservation of their own kind and the welfare of that kind as the collective entity that it is and for its own sake. Television and Hollywood were able to become "purveyors of smut," in other words, because the racial basis of our society was already lacking; had such a basis existed, they would have become the purveyors of something very different. Had we a society that was devoted to *racial* values instead of individual values, television and Hollywood would have conveyed those values no differently than any other facet of our racially oriented society. In other words, had we a society that was devoted to the preservation and betterment of our White *Race* instead of the entertainment of the individual and his "rights," television and Hollywood would have been forces for *good*, not bad, in the service of

that aim. As it stood, however, our society was *not* guided by racial values and thus television and Hollywood only made worse a situation that was already bad. The excessive focus on the individual, a focus that had already been the ethos of the society in which we lived, enabled television and Hollywood only to widen the gulf that had already existed between the individual and his race, eventually to the point where individuals began to *hate* their own race, began to feel *guilty* for being White, and began to work *against* the best interests of their own kind in its confrontations with the other races that were arrayed against it. Television and Hollywood undermined, and indeed destroyed, the White Man's sense of community with his racial kinsmen, rendering him a mere "atom" detached from his fellow "atoms" even more than had already been the case by virtue of the values that he had already embraced. Instead of their being the places of friendship, camaraderie, and mutual support and assistance that they had been for thousands of years, our neighborhoods became mere geographical locations where hardly anyone knew one another anymore. That is because everyone was instead watching "TV" in their living rooms, cut off from the rest of the world and their neighbors for all practical purposes and imbibing everything *but* those attitudes and values which are conducive towards the preservation and betterment of their own kind in this world.

Whereas in times past our neighborhoods would remind us that we are individuals of a *race*, tied by our mutual blood, television removed us more and more from our psychological presence in those neighborhoods and cast our minds into a fairy-tale land of make-believe where the only "reality" was the particular TV show we were watching at the moment, our individual reactions to that show, and the various anti-white and anti-racial attitudes that were being meted out to us in their totality. We were thus cut off more and more from the actual world around us and given free play to our individual fantasies in the process. Race didn't matter because the TV didn't *say* that it mattered. Race mixing was okay because Tom on "The Jeffersons" was a race-mixer and he was a "good fellow." Since there were various races on our *television*, there was nothing objectionable about having various races in our *society*. The black man was not lower than us intrinsically; rather, he was just a victim of his *circumstances* as proclaimed by the all-knowing television set. The black and other non-white characters were often the good guys on TV and the White the bad; why then stand with our own people on racial lines in the (real) world? Whereas our White people had previously had fairly limited contact with the other races, seeing them infrequently in their daily lives due to the general segregation of the society in which they lived, now the other races were constantly *in their living rooms* and thus "a part of the family." Obviously our racial instincts could not help but be damaged under

such an unnaturally-contrived scenario. Our natural instinct to live only with our own kind, to breed with our own kind, and to preserve our own kind could not help but take a beating when nothing we saw on television had anything to do with such racially preserving feelings, emotions, and attitudes. Television became a new world, and when our people went back out into the old one, they were determined to make it conform to that new *television* world. In that world, there was simply no room at all for a racially proud White Race in solidarity with itself. There was no room for a White people that was committed to the preservation of its own kind, and certainly no room for the enactment of any measures that would accomplish that. There was no room for White unity because the White people on television were *individuals*, not a group. Indeed, White people were always displayed as being at *odds* with one another, with that discord being intrinsic to our “entertainment.” And as time went on, there was no room for anything but White guilt, White self-doubt, White self-contempt, and White forbearance in regards to the alleged grievances and “rights” of the other races since the TV said that we had “oppressed” the world; through treachery, brutality, and otherwise. Needless to say, the inculcation of such sentiments had their effect: they drove our White Race to deny itself even more than had already been the case. Our drive for racial preservation was continually and progressively weakened to the point where few even had a grasp anymore as to what racial preservation meant or why it had value. There was only the individual and his feelings, whatever his race, and the supposedly “irreversible” darkening of our society that the controlled news media loved to crow about. Our White people were to watch their boob tubes like good little sheep, the mere “consumers” that they were, and forget about any kind of resistance to the dispossession of their country and the well-nigh cultural, political, social, genetic, and biological destruction that was in store for them. There was always “an escape” on television to take their thoughts away from the real world as it is. There was no danger to the future of that White Race in that real world because there was no danger to that future that was expressed on TV. Anybody could “believe” in anything he wanted, just so long as it wasn’t “racist”; “racism” was the one sentiment that was to be deemed beyond the pale as far as the values of White people were concerned. Indeed, the word itself was twisted so as to have a meaning that it never innately had in the first place: an irrational mistreatment of the supposedly downtrodden non-white races based solely on the color of their skin. Our positive racial feelings were thus transformed into something negative and our people fled from the real issues that actually confronted them.

Hence our White people were manipulated onto hundreds of different paths but none of them, simply none of them, led to the preservation of their own kind as such. We could believe in this, that, or the other thing but any belief or action for *our own people*, as the White people that we are, was and is condemned in “the television society,” as it might be called. Our race itself was rendered a *non-entity* and as a *non-entity*, there obviously could be little inclination to preserve it or otherwise seek its betterment. It was fine for *the other races* to pursue their own best interests but the message of television denied that path to White people altogether. The only racial grievances allowed in our society were those of the *other races*; television denied that White people were even *capable* of having racial grievances. Instead we were just “individuals” who were denied any collective worth of our own. Our altruistic task was to improve the lot of the *black* man, not that of our own. Our task was to “take in” the other races, not advance our own. We were to care about the freedom of “the long-suffering” colored races around the world but not the freedom of our own people to live for *itself* and care about *itself*. We were to feel for the plight of the other races, regardless of whether they themselves were responsible for that plight. We were to look upon the world from the other races’ viewpoint and ignore that of our own, for ourselves.

All of this was the message of television that was received and imbibed by our people and the result has been devastating. “The black man is your equal and hence your own White Race has no more value than an obviously depraved and barbaric race.” “The White Man stole America from the Indians (‘Native Americans’) and thus you have no right to be here, White Man.” “America is a melting pot and thus it is okay if your White Race disintegrates in that pot.” “The Germans murdered six million Jews in the past and thus it is their moral duty to take in millions of other non-white refugees today in order to help atone for their crimes.” “The British Empire oppressed millions.” “Slavery is America’s original sin.” These are just some of the many hideously negative messages that were sent to us, of course, messages that attacked our drive to preserve our own kind, but they were bad enough. Indeed, *there is not a single “anti-racist” White person living today who has that sentiment inherently*. Rather, that sentiment is solely an unnatural product of *television* and other artificial indoctrination. Countless White people oppose “racism” simply because “racists” are portrayed negatively on *TV* and nothing more. And in that regard, “racism” has been totally mischaracterized in its own right, as stated; instead of television presenting it as the basic urge to distinguish between one’s own kind and that of the others that exists naturally in all living creatures as part of their drive for self-preservation, racism has been presented as some kind of sick mania that it

never was. When a race has been stripped of its right to defend itself, of its *racism* that is, it can hardly be surprising that its drive for *self-preservation* would suffer too. Thus television's attack on our racial feeling disarmed us in the struggle for the preservation of our kind. That disarmament would continue so long as television retained its disproportionate influence or until other forces in society could successfully counter that influence.

It is hence not some kind of coincidence that we are today witnessing the glimmer of a resurgence of White racial feeling at the very time that television is beginning to lose that influence. With the advent of the Internet, our people are no longer a captive audience totally at the mental mercy of the television programmers; they can instead seek out the news, views, and entertainment that is more closely aligned with their own natural inclinations instead of passively imbibing whatever the television set is intent on meting out to them, all of which is hostile to the idea of White racial preservation. No longer did we have to get our "news" from the controlled and manipulative television media; rather there was a whole new array of news sources now available to us, some of which we who cared about our racial preservation had created ourselves. However, the negative influence of television was still there; it's just that it was no longer quite what it was. The more that our people turned off their television sets, the better. However, they were still on way too much. Television had done its damage; that damage was not going to be fully reversed anytime soon. Television now had a *rival*, yes, but it still lacked a *master*. In their formative years, after all, our children were still imbibing its poison. Racial integration, and even interracial breeding, were still being promoted on its channels along with everything else that eviscerated healthy and strong values in our people, as well as that of our culture itself. The *weak* White man was praised by television while the strong White man was condemned. Homosexuality was rendered "normal" on the airwaves while those who were disgusted by that perversion were alleged to be unenlightened fools. There was no such thing as an actual normal White family on television anymore; indeed, all of the building blocks of a healthy White Race, a race that would *want* to preserve itself, were knocked *down*. Divorce, adultery, family strife, derision, humiliation, and contempt: all of these were *encouraged* on the television set; on the other hand, anything that was normal, healthy, and otherwise productive to a proud, unified, and self-assured White Race was deemed to be too "boring" to air by the (usually Jewish) television scriptwriters. Interracial sexual relationships were being promoted more and more, to the point where it became impossible not to see it on a daily basis.

So yes, while the lessening of television's influence on account of the Internet is a good thing to behold, the times that we live in are still profoundly sick. There is perversion all over the Internet *too* for that matter. It is also fair to say that the tranquil state of mind is *itself* under attack in the world that surrounds us, that mental state which can calmly behold the world as it is, separate the important from the unimportant, and shun the mindless idiocy that would call itself "culture" today in favor of higher values. Our White people are conditioned to be *afraid* in their daily lives, to be afraid of standing up for the best interests of their own kind, certainly, but also to be afraid of living *itself*. Our mothers are afraid to allow their children to play in the neighborhood. Our children are afraid to stand up to the local school bully. Our teachers are afraid to deviate from their lesson plans, lesson plans that were by no means created with their own particular students in mind but rather were crafted for them by some bureaucrat stationed a thousand miles away. Our workers are afraid to say anything at work for fear that it might be "misconstrued," and we are all afraid of "terrorists" because the television set is constantly telling us that we ought to be. Fear, fear, fear . . . that is the attitude that has been inculcated in our people. "Don't say what you actually think; just go along with the actually *new* values that are being hideously, and often subliminally, imposed upon you." "Sacrifice your own spirit and your own will in order to go along with the present order of things." "White Man, let yourself be *walked on* so that a better world may come; not a better world for *you*, mind you, but rather a better world for everybody you (supposedly) oppressed in the past." "Think what we want you to think and be damned if you should realize that none of the thoughts that we are giving you have anything to do with the welfare of your own culture, your own civilization, and your own people for their own sake, for your White Race has *no value* in its own right." It is little wonder then why the drive in our people for the preservation of their own kind would be so crippled in the face of such an onslaught against it. Much attacks the drive whereas practically nothing supports it, only an instinct that has been suppressed in every way in favor of the mongrel, anti-White society in which we have become engulfed. Such is the sickness of our times.

Our White people themselves never had anything to gain, of course, by the dispossession of their country, their society, and their world; they have never had anything to gain by the dispossession of their own *spirit*. Nearly everything that our people think and do today has been *fabricated* for them from the outside instead of being the product of their own natural inclinations; we have been robbed of our true nature as the distinct, organic, and *racist* beings that we naturally are. The words that come out of our mouths were put there by forces not our own; our

actions follow a script that we did not write. When we reflect upon the sickness of our times, if we have enough awareness to do even *that*, that is, we must realize that the root of that sickness springs from our not being true to our own nature as a people and that everything else is a manifestation of that failure regardless of by whatever means that has come about. Are White people really inherently weak, docile, cowardly, gullible, forbearing, and foolish, or were these flaws acquired by us over the course of time? Is it really in our nature to wage war against the very presence of our own kind on this earth by virtue of idiotic politics, an idiotic legal system, and idiotic values themselves, all of which would declaim that preference for our own kind is *right*? Are we really living in a world where things are as they ought to be, or have things gone horribly wrong? These questions never cross the minds of the vast majority of our people; for those of us who care about the preservation of their White Race on this earth though, they must. It is not enough for us to combat only the symptoms of our racial downfall that we see about us every day; we must also become aware of their cause. Our people did not just wake up one day in a weakened, chaotic, and divisive state; that is instead a product of long duration that has only been magnified and hastened in recent years. The foundation of our entire *thinking* has been bad, and that is so because we long ago removed ourselves from that root principle which guides all of the life of the natural world: *racial furtherance*. In effect, we allowed the various whims of our *minds* to go against the best interests of our *bodies* and their drive for racial furtherance as the racial entities that they are; hence we discarded the natural way of behaving in this world and took up that of an artificial one. We took pride in our possession of "values" that quite simply had no worth in their own right; that is because they did not benefit our *race* in its struggle for existence in this world but rather, on the contrary, harmed it. *Racial furtherance* quite simply has no place today in the psyche or deeds of our people, and for that we are paying a bitter price. To a certain extent our White people have become victims of their own success, for the higher that they rose, the more they (erroneously) thought they could afford to dispense with their own self-interest and betterment as a race and proceed to lavish upon the other races a generosity that those races did not deserve and otherwise dispense with racially beneficial values in their own right. The result is a White Race that is tottering under the weight and burden of the innumerable parasites that are feeding upon it until the day arrives when its fall must come.

Thus our weakened drive for preservation that we witness today is just the predictable result of a trend that we ourselves allowed to take root in the first place. *The very ideals that our people embrace today are harmful to*

their continued presence on this earth; such is the sickness of our times which those who would want a different outcome altogether must ruthlessly combat. Instead of accommodating ourselves to the present way of things, we must destroy that way. Instead of the triumph of our racial death there must be the triumph of our racial life. The very pride in non-racial values that our people are fond of boasting of today has the effect of a noose around their necks. The people that would ignore its own racial existence is destined to lose that existence. Every policy, every thought, and every deed which is non-racial is in fact *anti-racial*, and to be *anti-racial* is to be *anti-life*.

Our people make the mistake, for instance, of thinking that good economics is somehow a substitute for racial values; good economics though does not preserve a culture, a civilization, or the race which creates same, nor does the present employment of good economics spare a people the physical and emotional pain of their total dispossession and indeed slaughter at the hands of the other races in the future who were wrongly allowed into their habitat in the first place for so-called "economic" reasons. In other words, the replacement of racial values with that of economic values sacrifices not only the racial survival of our people in the long run but also the very economic prosperity, of course, that induced it to make that Faustian bargain in the first place. It is not "economics" that is the key to a people's prosperity, but rather it is devotion to its best interests in all things and at all times as the race that it is in its struggle for life. Mere economic considerations are, and always have been, a pitiful substitute for the preservation of the racial stock which makes all human progress possible; it is yet another sign of the sickness of our times that our people would devote themselves so much to matters of mere personal financial gain while devoting themselves so *little* to the greatest profit of all: their continued racial existence and advancement on this earth, especially since the future extinction of our race would obviously make the question of financial gain for any individual of that race a moot point, to say the least. Races that have become *extinct* obviously have no use for *money*, and yet our people would prance about for cash in ignorance of that fact all the while that their kind gradually vanishes from the earth due to such misplaced priorities. The shallowness of the actions of our people today is indeed stunning, that they would be willing to trade, however unconsciously, the future survival of their own kind for the personal financial hedonism of the moment, and yet that is what happens when a race has ceased to think and care about itself for its own sake. Yes, the economic livelihood of a race is important since that enables it to live a higher quality of life; however, the economic livelihood of a race can never be more important than its life

itself. *Cash* is not more important than *blood*; in a healthy society, economics is just a tool for the well-being of the *race*, not its despoiler or replacement.

This is how things would be viewed in healthy times but alas, we do not live in healthy times. Sickness is instead the order of the day, and that sickness extends to the fact that our people are unable to recognize it as being sick at all. It is a fact that, right now, many thousands, indeed millions, of our White racial brethren are willing to knowingly sell out the future of their White Race for *cash*, and yet instead of that being roundly condemned by the rest of us, it's looked at as being a permissible course of conduct simply because it would "make money" for the individuals involved. Financial gain for the individual has been blessed as a moral state of affairs regardless of whatever damage is inflicted upon our kind in the process. That blessing though is just a reflection of our weakened drive for *preservation*. A race whose drive for preservation is strong would *never* tolerate economics or mere financial gain for the individual to militate against its own racial best interests. It would not proclaim a "right" to make money at the expense of its racial future. It would not talk about "the economy" all the time and talk about the race *none* of the time. Rather, all financial matters would be looked at within a racial context and hence subordinated to that context. A healthy race is a *race*: first, last, and always. Its racial existence is not something that is only occasionally recalled; rather it is ever present and ever heeded. A healthy race is concerned with its own benefit alone; it is happy for its members to profit *within* that benefit but not at the *expense* of that benefit. A healthy race cares more about its permanent existence on this planet than any money making, something that is, after all, only transitory in time. It does not allow the mere trappings of its existence to subsume that existence. It does not allow any individual to fight against that existence, whether due to the pursuit of personal financial gain or not. Everything that it experiences in this world is merely a *means* towards its own racial end, that end being its preservation and advancement as the race that it is, for its own sake, forever.

That our White people today are living totally contrary to these racially preservative principles is of course obvious. Its preoccupation with raceless economic and financial matters is just one example of that but there are many others, all of them leading to our racial downfall. Indeed, since we have divorced ourselves from practically every kind of racial consideration as a matter of a supposedly higher morality, the lack of our racial preservation must inevitably follow. Drug usage, sexual perversions, and the perversion of the natural roles of the sexes in society, and the general anarchy of thought and actions that has gripped our people are all a reflection of the fact that

we have abandoned the racial values by which a race is preserved, and even when our people *do* realize that their times are sick, they fail to understand the core reason why: that the individual has been separated from his race and that his (supposed) needs and wants have been catered to at its expense. It is fair to say that racial values and *tolerance* for whatever individuals want to do in the course of their lives are in fact irreconcilable concepts; a race can no more *tolerate* harm to itself by virtue of the actions of its members than a school of fish can *tolerate* every fish swimming in its own direction, something that would destroy the school in its confrontations with predators in short order. Every society has to have *rules*, and the only sensible determinant of those rules is *racial benefit*, for otherwise the society will be torn apart and cease to exist in any worthwhile fashion. Viewing our people as mere *individuals* is a recipe for *racial disaster*. The individual is a finite entity; it follows then that he must have less importance than an *infinite* entity, the race. A race can only survive into the distant future when it is *racial*; the moment that a race breaks itself down into non-rationally responsible "individuals," with all of its focus being transferred to those individuals and their needs, desires, and whims, it has broken down the drive for its own preservation as well. When the collective is broken, the preservation of that collective is broken too. For those who would desire the preservation of their White Race on this earth, it is not enough then that they themselves harbor that desire; rather, they must work for the rest of their race to harbor it as well and fight against all of those forces that would cause it to do otherwise. Opinions, feelings, and values that are hostile to our racial preservation, whether in the short or long term, must be ruthlessly *attacked*; there can be no such thing as "tolerance" for that which would rid our kind from the face of the earth.

We can say in conclusion that all that which weakens our White Race in its drive to preserve itself is "sick," and that includes the society in which we live, the thoughts we think, the values we hold, the governmental policies we accept, and even the unhealthy food that we consume for that matter. The entire social, political, economic, intellectual, and religious order that we live in today is *sick* as it is overwhelmingly ill-conducive to our continued racial life; that which causes a race to decline, degrade, degenerate and destruct must necessarily be "sick" by definition. To have a strong race is to have a *healthy* race and no one can possibly say that the White Race is "strong" today whether from a moral, emotional, physical, territorial, or any other perspective; hence our race is sick today in its world. We can say furthermore that health is *better* than sickness and should be sought because that is so, and that racial preservation is better than racial destruction when it is our own race that is at stake. The

preservation of our kind is not accomplished, however, through the acceptance and maintenance of social conditions that are hostile to that preservation. Rather those conditions must be combatted, and on that basis. The frivolities of the present world have *consequences* and those consequences are nearly entirely negative as far as the continued existence of our White Race is concerned. When our people do not care *about* their White Race as such, our White Race is not cared *for* and its future is undermined accordingly. When our people instead put their stock in stupid governments, stupid flags, and causes that have nothing to do with the preservation and advancement of their own kind in this world, that preservation and advancement is *erased*. When we fail to understand that the natural world is a world of *races*, all in dire competition with one another for the bounty of this earth, we negligify our own racial existence and hand victory to our racial adversaries who will gladly tread upon the soil where our bones are buried. We forfeit everything that we were, are, and could be.

Ours is a time of unnatural attitudes, sentiments, and desires, all in obeisance to the likewise unnatural order of things that they have created. Our White people do not behave as natural *creatures* anymore and the result of this, their divorce from Nature, is their displacement, degradation, and demise. Our people live without a common goal in mind and call that "freedom." That it is, in the sense that they are "free" from any sense of what it takes to preserve their own kind on this earth and, what's worse, are "free" from any appreciation for the importance of doing so. They devote no thought or energy at all to the well-being of their *race*, instead being caught up almost entirely in their own fleeting individual lives and, to a lesser extent, in the amorphous well-being of the "country," as if the well-being of a "country" were somehow more important than that of our own kind! Hence all of the energies that *could* have been utilized for our racial preservation and advancement are instead squandered on pursuits that not only fail to help us in that regard but usually, in fact, hurt us. Our people thus meander about in total disregard of that which is most important, the preservation and advancement of their own kind for its own sake, and that preservation and advancement suffers accordingly. We are a race that treads practically every conceivable path but nearly *none* of them leads to the permanent survival of that race or our advancement as that race, whether culturally, genetically, or biologically. In sum, we are doing nothing to *preserve* ourselves or to *better* ourselves as the White Race that we are and that is so because our drive towards those ends has been attacked and extirpated. The entire society is filled with worthless values because they are not *racial* values, and without racial values, our own race

ceases to exist. Without our society being obedient to the path set forth by Nature, the preservation of our natural racial existence is not maintained.

Since though the current order of things in which our White people live is so "unnatural," as we have said, it behooves us to explain separately, exactly, and in detail how that is so. How are the values of our times at odds with those of Nature? Why is it good for a race to in fact be "natural" and bad for it to be "unnatural"? Why should our White people prefer the values of *Nature* as their guide to the fabricated values of their own minds? What *are* the values of Nature, exactly? What is the result of a race defying natural values; what is the *consequence* of its doing so? Why is it that the values of Nature are race *preservative* and the values of men race *destructive*? How exactly is the present society, in which our people have the misfortune of living, radically different in its various aspects from the natural society that we could have had for ourselves had we been true to the values of Nature? No matter how clear we may already deem the answers to these questions, those of us who are devoted to reinvigorating the drive for preservation in our White Race, that is, it behooves us to set them forth all the same so that the extent to which our people have gone astray may be fully understood and, with struggle, fully redressed. The issues that are still before us are vast, the ramifications are deep, and the challenges are great. Our journey has just begun.

Chapter Two

The Values of the Natural World

It is fair to say that the contents of the previous chapter rested on the presumption that preservation, including *racial* preservation, is a worthwhile value, at least for those people whose preservation happens to be at stake. However, we live today in a time, of course, where many would challenge that presumption when it comes to the preservation of *the White Race*, and it is precisely the fact that White people do not value the preservation of their own kind today, and in fact work to *undermine* it, which illustrates the sickness of our times. That is because in Nature a race fights to *live*, not die. It values its own preservation and does so for its own sake. It need not explain nor justify why it wants to live; it simply pursues that course and no other. Healthy values, for it, are thus those actions and attitudes which enable it to continue its presence on this earth, for otherwise it would vanish in short order. In other words, those values which enable a race to continue living are *healthy* values while those which would cause that race to wither and die are *sick* values. Just as we would call a flourishing garden a healthy garden, we can call a flourishing *race* a healthy *race*. On the other hand, a garden that is being taken over by weeds (alien races), and whose fruit and vegetables are stunted in their growth and diseased otherwise, must surely be “sick” under any rational understanding of the term, and that indeed is the plight which the “garden” of our White Race is suffering today. Growth and vigor is the law of life; decline and degradation is the mark of death. That which enables a race to grow and preserve itself is healthy; that which causes a race to wither and die is sick. That is the basic thesis of this book and the author asserts it absolutely. Regardless of the infinite intellectual mores that men can invent or adapt themselves to in order to get along with the current social order of things, these are the only definitions of health and sickness that ultimately make any sense, at least from a biological standpoint, and it is only the biological standpoint that matters in a world that happens to be biological by its very nature and nothing else. There are ways of thinking and acting which preserve life and ways which do *not*, whether for the individual or the race of which he is a part. The only sensible course of action then is to be *for* those ways of thinking and living which preserve that life and to be *against* those which do not, and that is so regardless of whatever intellectual arguments to the contrary can be mustered up in the mere minds of men, arguments that always happen to be divorced from the natural world in which every other creature lives. For in that natural world, the striking fact of the

matter is that no race, anywhere, tolerates its own destruction. Not one. Rather, every race fights for its continued preservation on this earth through whatever means are available to it and it is always in the right thereby. We can ask ourselves then why our White Race should be an exception, indeed the *sole* exception, in that regard. We can ask ourselves why it should be so that every race in the natural world seeks to *live* but that we White people, on the other hand, should let our own race *die*. We can, even more fundamentally perhaps, ask ourselves why our own values as White people should be any different from the values of the natural world in the first place. Was it really necessary that our White Race adopt values that *contradict* those values of the natural world, values which impel all of the races within it to fight for their own preservation as the very meaning and purpose of their life?

The great error of human history is that we allowed that to occur, that instead of embracing the values of *Nature*, we as White people embraced artificial values that are hostile to our own racial existence, both at the moment and in the long run. We divorced ourselves from a natural scheme of things altogether, creating for ourselves a moral world order that had nothing at all to do with the *natural* world that we should have deemed ourselves a part of all along. We substituted natural values for man-made values, those values having nothing to do with our racial place in the world, its preservation, and its advancement as the *race* that it is in competition with the others. We failed to preserve *our racial integrity*, something that every other race in Nature does as a matter of course. *The sad fact of the matter is that the entire moral, political, social, and religious order of things that our White people have lived by for the past two thousand plus years has been bad for our kind* and that is because it has not been based on the value of our own racial *existence* and the perpetuation and advancement of that *existence* upon this earth.

That may seem a harsh statement to make and yet it is true nonetheless. The only morals, politics, societies, and religions we ever needed, and ever should have wanted, were those which preserved and advanced our own *kind* in its struggle for life, and on this earth and no place else at that. No separation of ourselves from that value of the natural world was ever necessary or desirable. Our intellect should never have been used to countermand Nature but rather to *fulfill* it. There was never an “improvement” upon the values of Nature that was ever possible or worthwhile. We instead should have lived in *harmony* with the values of that natural world which spawned *us* just as surely as every *other* race of creature upon the face of this earth. There was never a need for us to look anyplace else for the values that would guide the life of our people, as a whole as well as that of our own

lives individually. Rather, *Nature* provided the only path by which our well-being could be assured. That is because the values of Nature are inherently conducive to life. *Nature in fact sets the rules by which that life may be maintained; to go against those rules, on the other hand, means to forfeit that life.*

When we observe Nature, the obvious fact that appears without exception before our eyes is that each and every race of organism that exists is devoted exclusively to the welfare of its own kind. Not a single race in the natural world, in other words, seeks to benefit any race other than its own. There is no such thing as interracial altruism in Nature, nor is there even a single “multiracial” society of creatures within its realm, and yet that is the case even though there are countless trillions of organisms existing upon the face of this planet! The enormity of that fact should thus hit us squarely in our brains and in our souls, that the natural world is, without exception, totally “racist” in the very sense of the term that our White people today would so sadly and vociferously deplore: races in Nature discriminate *against* one another and *for* themselves *all the time*. There is no “racial integration,” no “interracial harmony,” and no “colorblindness” regarding race, or anything else of the kind, in the natural world that surrounds us. Rather, each race associates only with its own kind, fights only for its own kind, and tolerates only its own kind within its society and yes, animals have societies as much as men. The old saying, “to each his own,” applies with total and unequivocal force. There is no multiracial society, mingling, or acceptance of any kind. Rather, every race lives only for itself, and excludes those *not* of its own kind from its world.

One will not find, for example, a flock composed of different races of birds flying south for the winter. One will not find a school composed of different races of fish. One will not find a mixed “pride” of lions and hyenas upon the African plain. One will not find different races of bees in the same beehive. One will not find different races of ants in the same ant hole. Everywhere in Nature there is the *separation* of races, not their integration. There is racial *exclusivity*, not inclusion. That is the true moral order of the world, not the various race-mixing agendas that men can contrive within their heads. Nature is *racist* through and through. Every single creature within its realm is a racist creature. Only among *men* is racism deplored; at the same time all the other races of organisms under the sun are racist without objection. *The problem then is not the natural racism that exists on this earth but rather that men have foolishly condemned the racism that is natural to them as well.* When people who do not know any better attack “racism,” they are thus unwittingly attacking the very nature of life on this planet, for again, every race—whether of mammal, insect, amphibian, fish, or whatever—is “racist” for its own kind

in its struggle for life. They do not socialize with one another. They do not breed with one another. They do not form communities with one another. They in fact resist, kill, and *destroy* one another if that is what is necessary to preserve their own respective kinds upon this earth. No one with a brain in his head has ever opposed lions being “racist” towards hyenas, or grizzly bears being “racist” towards black bears, or a million other examples we could name. No one has ever condemned the refusal of all of the races in the natural world to breed outside of their own kind. That is because racism is intrinsic to all natural life on this planet. There are races and there is *racism* accordingly. The races preserve themselves by being *racist*, that is, by thinking and acting exclusively with their own particular race in mind. Racism is their natural *instinct* and that instinct preserves their existence on this earth. Creatures are racist by *nature*, not by instruction, and no one has ever offered a single compelling reason why the races of *men* should be any different in that regard.

No one has ever demanded that the races in *Nature* “get along” with one another and so we can ask ourselves why the races of *men* should be forced to “get along” with one another either. No one has ever demanded that the races in *Nature* “integrate” with one another and so we can ask ourselves why such racial integration should be demanded of *men*. No one has ever demanded that the races in *Nature* “love one another” and so we can ask ourselves why such a love should be demanded of *us*. No one has ever demanded that the races in *Nature* like one another and so we can ask ourselves why such a thing should be demanded of the races of *men*. I, as a White man, should have as much right to disdain members of the black race as a lion has the right to disdain a hyena, or is it so that animals should have *more* rights than men?

The natural world doesn’t have a bad conscience about what it feels. Its creatures do not chastise themselves for loving their friends and hating their enemies, their friends *always* being members of their own race and their enemies *almost* always being those *not* of their own race. The natural world *hates* and hates often, and nobody thinks that it should be blamed for doing so. The races within it hate in order to preserve themselves and that which they love. There is no “tolerance” of anything that threatens their racial existence, nor is there ever a question of their even regretting that fact. Indeed, there is no regret of any kind in *Nature*. Instead, each race looks after and loves its own kind to the exclusion of all others not of its own racial family, and the sentiments that each race possesses—call it instinct or what you will—simply spring from the loyalty to its own kind that is the foundation of its entire genetic existence. They are a means towards the preservation and advancement of that

existence, not a scourge against same. No matter what conflicts may exist between the individual members of a given race, that race is always united in its opposition to *enemy* races whether in fight or flight. There is thus no such thing, *ever*, as an individual of one race in the natural world taking sides against its own race in favor of that of another race. Indeed, in the entire history of the world, such a thing has never occurred. A honey bee has never taken the side of bumble bees in a clash between the two races of bees. A grizzly bear has never taken the side of black bears in a clash between the two races of bears. A lion has never sided with hyenas against his fellow lions. A walrus has never assisted polar bears in the killing of his fellow walruses. Thus not only is *racism* endemic to Nature but so is *racial loyalty*. Every single race in the natural world—the world outside of Man’s influence, interference, and control, that is—has been loyal to its own kind and that has been so for the entire history of the planet. *Simply put, no race naturally takes sides against its own kind.* Indeed, the very idea of that happening in Nature is preposterous. Each race breeds only with its own, favors only its own, sides only with its own, and cares only for its own alone. Its world is a *racial* world; there is its *own* race with which all of its affection and concern rests, and there are the *other* races with which it has nothing to do in any kind of social sense. Even when Man takes the other, competitive races of creatures into his captivity, he is not foolish enough to put them in the same cage, say a lion and a hyena, for example. That is because the animosity between the two races is inherent regardless of wherever they may find themselves and one would tear the other apart in short order as a result. Only Man’s domestication (genetic engineering) of the animal races can ever modify their inherent nature of being totally racist in favor of their own kind and being socially aloof from all of the other kinds, but that takes hundreds of years to achieve, if at all.

It is a mistake, on the other hand, to think that “race” is a concept which is limited to human beings and does not exist among the myriad other species of this earth. On the contrary, two different species—whether we are talking about bears, bees, birds, or whatever—are automatically two different *races* as well due to that very fact since species *includes* race. By means of analogy, the number “ten” has to include numbers one through nine; thus if you have “ten” apples, you have to have five, six, or seven too. Race is not just a human attribute but rather an attribute of all life. Thus wherever life exists within the natural world, there is *race*. All life on this earth is composed of races; wherever there are “species,” there are races too. Race is in fact the better term since it describes any genetically distinctive population regardless of the specific nature of the creatures in question.

Races in Nature do not need to provide any ethical justification for their resistance to the incursion of rival races into their territories. Rather, they simply do resist that incursion because their best interests *compel* that they do. Their instincts compel that resistance and thus it is made accordingly. Rivals are those races which compete with them for food and territory and thus they are their *foes* as a result. Their rivals have the ability to supplant their own racial existence in the territory in question and thus they are their *enemies*, quite simply. Whatever actual motivations the rivals in question may actually have in their heads (or in their “hearts”) are totally irrelevant. What matters instead is that a threat exists all the same to the race whose habitat is being invaded. The race is threatened and that threat must be defeated, if possible. That is done through outright attacks upon the intruders, incessant harrying of the intruders, or, should resistance be entirely futile due to the grossly disparate strength and power of the intruder in question, by surrender of the territory in favor of a territory where the race *isn't* threatened any longer. In any case, the race struggles to *preserve* itself, and by whatever means are available to it at that. It does not welcome its invaders with open arms. Rather, it *resists* its invaders if it can. Bees sting those creatures which get too close to their hive, for example. Birds peck at those who get too close to their nests. Other animals of course flee because they *can't* successfully resist the invasion of their territories. However, they do that so that their *race* may be preserved thereby. The race thus struggles to *live* and not die. Individuals may be sacrificed for the sake of that racial preservation or they may not. The drive for that preservation, however, is omnipresent.

In the natural world, territory and habitat only have importance in regards to the preservation of the particular race that is inhabiting it, itself. In other words, it is the *race* which provides a given territory its significance, not the other way around; the territory that a race inhabits is utilized for the benefit of the *race* rather than the race being utilized for the benefit of the *territory*. A territory is thus only a *means* to an end in Nature and that end is always *racial* preservation and advancement. No race in the history of the natural world has ever sacrificed the lives of its individual members for the mere sake of its *territory*; rather, races have fought for their territories in the natural world for the sake of their racial *selves* which inhabit those territories. Races are thus *racist* in Nature, not “patriotic” in a raceless sense. The *race* is of utmost importance, not the particular territory it inhabits. The race is the *end* in Nature, the territory the *means* to advance that end. Their territories provide them with sustenance but their true allegiance is not to those territories but rather to *themselves*. Bees do not sting out of love for the particular territory they are in; rather, they sting to defend the hive containing their *kind* which exists

within that territory. Birds do not peck at the heads of those humans who have unwittingly come near their nests because of a fondness for the territory itself; rather their concern is with their nests of *offspring* which inhabit that territory. In Nature the concern is thus always the preservation of one's own *kind* and never for the territory or habitat for its own sake. Indeed, if need be, the territory or habitat will be sacrificed altogether to preserve that kind and another one will be occupied instead! Thus it is *racial* preservation that is the focus of Nature, not territorial possession for its own sake. The territory is just a means to the end of preserving the race which occupies the territory in question. The territory is thus the servant while the race is the master. No race has ever sacrificed its entire existence for the sake of a mere territory that it would hand over to its conqueror with its dying breath, but many a territory has been sacrificed so that a race may continue to live. Still, such a vacating of territory is a last resort in Nature; a race would rather defend its territory, literally by tooth and nail if it can, because by doing so, it defends itself. A territory is again its means of sustenance as we have said; thus by fighting for that sustenance, it fights for itself. Races oftentimes invest a great deal of time, effort, and energy into their territories; oftentimes they are not willing to give them up without a fight accordingly. Still, the defense of territory in Nature is always for *racial* reasons rather than for the sake of the territory in question standing alone. The "habitat" of every race in Nature starts and ends with *itself*.

In the natural world, each race is totally indifferent to the "feelings" of the other races that exist. Cheetahs do not care about the feelings of antelopes while they are ripping into their flesh. Whales do not care about the feelings of seals that they likewise devour. Beavers do not care whether people like the dams they build in the middle of their rivers, those rivers belonging to the *beavers* are far as they themselves are concerned. Crickets do not care if the chirps they make to attract a mate are profoundly annoying to those creatures who *aren't* crickets. Roosters do not care if other races don't like to be awoken at sunrise. Horse flies don't care if horses are bothered by their bites. Every race in Nature thus thinks entirely within its own racial framework; it never views matters from the other races' perspective but rather from its own. There is no moral conscience to be found outside of the conscience of its own kind. There is no blending or confusion of the myriad other racial best interests that swirl all around it. Rather, each race instinctively knows its own best interests and strives to fulfill them regardless of the sentiments, or pain, of those who are *not* of their own kind. "Moral" is that which is good for their own race, not something that ever transcends racial lines. All moral actions are *racial* in Nature, not interracial. There are thus no

“interracial” complaints to be made and no “interracial” justice to carry out. Rather, *justice is what benefits the race in question*, even if it hurts everyone else. For example, it is certainly “just” from a lion’s perspective that it succeed in pulling down zebras but it is hardly “just” from the zebras’ perspective that they be pulled down by “mean” lions. Thus it is *perspective* that matters in Nature and that perspective is racially determined.

Every race in Nature knows exactly what it is and does only that which *fulfills* what it is. A koala bear does not forget that it is a koala bear and start eating foods accordingly that are foreign, and indeed harmful, to its digestive tract. A hippopotamus does not mistake itself for a rhinoceros and start trotting about with the rhinos. A leopard does not mistake its spots for stripes. A rattlesnake does not suddenly behave as if it were a garden snake. Rather, every race in Nature is true to what it is, fulfills its own inherent character, and does not adopt the character of those races which are different from its own. It is sure of what it is and does not forget what it is. It beholds the other races around it, all right, but it is indifferent to their fate. There is no such thing as “social justice” between the various races in Nature because the only society that matters (and exists) for each race is the society of its own kind. Some races are its *prey* after all while others are its *predators*, and still others are its rivals for food and territory while others still have no relevance to its world at all. In any case, every race in Nature *minds its own business*; they do not regulate the lives of each other nor dictate how those lives must be lived. Rather, each race is on its own for better or worse as it struggles to survive, the other races around it only mattering for it in so far as they are its *food*, they are its *annoyance*, or they are its *threat*. There is no such thing in Nature as one race caring about the welfare of another, only concern about how that race may be used to benefit itself (such as through predation) or how the threat posed by another race may be defeated. The end is always the preservation and advancement of its own kind and nothing else. Justice is entirely *racial* in Nature, not “colorblind.”

Again, this is how things are in the natural world, not the world that has been manipulated by Man to conform to a different way of things that he has created within his own head. That natural world existed long before Man walked the earth and will no doubt continue long after he has walked no more. The natural world, not Man’s artificial world, is the default position of all existence upon the face of this planet. Were Man to disappear from the earth tomorrow, it would take very little geologic time at all for the natural world to subsume the civilization he has left behind and all of its works.

It goes without saying that the feeling of compassion is likewise an entirely *intra*racial sentiment in Nature. Simply put, races in the natural world do not have compassion for the various sufferings of those who are not of their own kind. They do not lament the tragedies that befall them, nor do they attempt to rescue them from those tragedies. There is ample love in Nature, to be sure, but that love for others is reserved for those of one's own kind and no other, least of all for one's racial enemies. Mother birds will do almost anything to protect their own chicks, for example, but they will do *nothing* to protect the young of other races, whether those other races happen to be fellow "birds" or not. A herd of water buffalo will collectively defend a fellow water buffalo that is being targeted by lions but could not care less about the fate of a hyena or zebra who happens to be under threat instead. The various races of penguins will express sadness over the death of members of their own respective kinds but look upon the slaughter of those individuals of different race all around them with total indifference. Praying mantises do not come to the aid of besieged walking sticks. Badgers do not come to the aid of porcupines. Monarch butterflies do not come to the aid of viceroy butterflies, no matter how similar they may be to the human naked eye. Rather, every race in Nature has compassion for its own kind alone. Nowhere in Nature does one race ever weep over the suffering endured by another, nor of course does a race ever go out of its way to put a halt to such suffering. The wheel of life goes round and round in Nature but all feeling concerning the various manifestations of that fact is entirely confined to the welfare of one's own race and nobody else's.

In the natural world, conflict and violence are endemic, not some kind of accident or punishment that only came about because of an alleged fall from paradise. Races clash with each other because they have competing *interests*—often to eat or to avoid being eaten—and because every gain for one race is at the expense of another in a world that is only of finite space. Since every race has the instinctive urge to expand the geographical range of its own kind and to the fullest extent possible at that, it inevitably comes up against other races that are trying to do the same thing and clashes between the two are thus bound to occur accordingly. Furthermore, races survive by consuming the very *flesh* of each other and cannot survive any other way. Indeed, the natural world could very well be summed up as a vast buffet where the races of life dine upon each other and seek to avoid being dined upon. Carnivores are the living embodiment of the deaths of any number of other animate creatures of different race and even the vegetarians consume the races of *plant* life that are at their mercy. Thus it is a fact that all of the animate races of this earth live off the death of the other creatures that surround them one way or another, that the profit of

one race is the destruction of another, and that the races of this earth are locked into an eternal struggle that can never be wished or hoped away. Lions do not lie down with lambs as their tender friends; rather, lions *eat* lambs as their tender *prey*. The weeds that every gardener hates must constantly be attacked because their urge is to constantly expand at the expense of the fruit (food) that would feed his family. Every animal's empty stomach longs to be filled and it is the *filling* that matters, not the feelings or wishes of those hapless creatures who are on the menu for that filling with their flesh, their sinew, and their bones. Every race in Nature thus has the inexorable drive to *live*, no matter what it is or how it does so, and that living requires the demise of those not of its own kind on a regular and continual basis in order for that living to be achieved. Anything and everything that enables a race to live is thus justified for that race; there is no bystander or "referee" anywhere who takes a dim view of what goes on in Nature along those lines and who would want it to be any other way. Conflict and violence end up serving their purpose, which is the preservation and advancement of one's own kind.

The triumph of the "individual" is not the hallmark of the natural world—since, after all, the individual himself perishes in short order—but rather that the *race* has continued to live through its successive generations. Hence many races in the natural world are willing to risk the lives of their individual members, and even forfeit those lives altogether, so that the race may continue to live. Bees, for instance, die in the defense of their hive by virtue of their stinging those creatures that intrude upon it. Ants will fight to the death to stop the invasion of their colonies by termites. Individual meerkats will sound the alarm when birds of prey are sighted, putting themselves at risk in the process. The point is that the race comes *before* the individual in Nature and sometimes to such an extent that the individuals forming it will without hesitation give up their individual lives so that it may go on. The individual dies and is quickly forgotten; the race, on the other hand, lives on constantly and continually. Whatever uniqueness that the individual may possess is of finite duration along with his finite life itself. The race, on the other hand, is infinite. Even those races whose individuals are solitary in nature make sure that those individuals breed before they die, and in that way the race goes on long after the individual himself has turned to dust.

To the (individual) victor in Nature goes the spoils, yes, but the true, lasting benefit of that phenomenon is the fact that he is able to breed more of his own individual kind by virtue of his continuing to live, and that the survival of the fittest beings, generation by generation, enables the race to be continually improved in its struggle for life. In other words, what appears as merely the aggrandizement of the individual being in the natural world actually

has a *racial* benefit through and through in that the survival and propagation of the best individuals, as a result of the conflict and violence endured as part of that world, results in the race attaining the highest quality possible generation by generation. Conflict and violence weed out the inferior specimens of the respective races, leaving only the best behind to replicate their genes and continue the flow. Thus not only are conflict and violence endemic to the natural world but they are beneficial to the constant improvement of that world. The healthy, the strong, the intelligent, and the fleet of foot survive to breed the next generation and the sickly, the weak, the dimwitted, and the sluggish do not. The entire grandeur and splendor of the natural world that is marveled at by humanity today is a result of this fact, for without the survival of the fittest, there could be no beauty. Even *within* races, conflict and violence exists but is kept under control by the racial loyalty that typifies all natural creatures; individuals of the same race will fight each other, yes, but usually only for dominance within the hierarchy of their own kind and for those breeding rights which enable more of their own, individual genes to exist in the world. The race is improved thereby because the best win the contest and replicate their genes in the coming generation more than do the losers. The strongest lion fathers the most lion cubs. The most brilliantly colored peacock attracts the most peahens. Competition between the individuals of a race also keeps the race competitive in its struggle with the other races of the world. Regardless of the agonies of the individual defeats which do occur, the race itself is the victor in the process.

What is absolutely unheard of in the natural world, however, is that of a race fighting itself wholesale. Never does a race in Nature engage in mass intraracial warfare, to the death or otherwise. One will never see a herd of water buffalo attack another herd of water buffalo, for instance, a swarm of honey bees attack a hive of fellow honey bees, or a flock of seagulls attack another flock of seagulls. One will never see red ants wage wholesale war against their fellow red ants, alligators against their fellow alligators, or hippopotami against their fellow hippopotami. Rather, the conflicts that do occur within races are entirely between *individuals* in Nature and only then for the reasons previously stated: dominance and breeding rights. Races are thus *loyal* to their own kind, not the enemy of it. Races attack *different* races, not their own kind. Races instinctively know that their enemies are those of *different* race, not those of the same race. Races are thus *racist* in their actions, not internecine or fratricidal. Races seek to *preserve* themselves, not destroy themselves, and thus they avoid that conduct which would in fact destroy them: mass warfare with their own kind. Thus there is no such thing in Nature as entire

“nations” of the same race which war against each other, whether for a “cause” or even for mere territory. Rather, that kind of wholesale conflict is reserved for relations *between* races, not within them. No matter what contests may occur between individuals or small groups of the same race in Nature, or how heated they may become, there is still the instinctive understanding that the conflict serves the betterment and best interests of the race in some way, and that the true enemies of the race, and as a whole at that, are those creatures which lie *outside* of its own kind altogether. Thus one will never witness a race in Nature weaken its own existence in relation to that of the other races that surround it. Rather, each race in Nature strives instinctively to improve its power and strength vis-à-vis that of the other races which it confronts. That is not always possible of course but it *is* the urge with which every race is imbued.

“Discrimination,” for its part, is constant in the natural world. Creatures constantly discriminate as to whom to associate with (members of their own race) and whom not to associate with (members of other races). They discriminate as to what to eat and with whom to breed. Indeed, all day long they are discriminating along those lines. They discriminate as to their friends and their foes. Every single race in Nature engages in this discrimination; not a single race or creature in Nature *fails* to engage in this discrimination. They discriminate between their own race and those of different race. They discriminate *for* their own race and *against* every other race. They do not treat other races the same way as they treat themselves, nor do they treat everybody within their own race the same either. Thus they in no respect treat everybody they encounter “equally,” and that is because there is no “equality” in Nature in the first place but rather a diversity of race, sex, character, strength, and power. “Equality” itself has no meaning in Nature as it is a man-made concept that ignores the intrinsic *inequality* of all living things. Hence the races of Nature’s realm pay no attention whatever to any such purported “equality” or whatever demands it would impose were the concept to have any value in a racial sense. Rather, every individual has his place in the race of which he is a part whether high, low, or indifferent, and “equality” simply does not enter into the equation. There is no attempt to treat everybody the same because they are *not* the same. Distinction and preference rather are the rule.

In Nature there is rule by the *best*, not by majority vote; there is a natural *aristocracy*, not democracy. Creatures are not guaranteed *anything* by virtue of their mere existence; rather they have to *work* for what they have and it is their work (and merit) that makes them deserving of whatever bounty they possess and nothing else. There

are no “entitlements” accruing to you just because you have a mouth and can yap loudly; rather you have to *earn* your very existence itself through the deeds you perform in life. There is no “right to health care” when you won’t even bother to take care of your own health. There is no right to eat if you are too lazy to bother to procure your own food. There is no “social safety net” for creatures who are too lazy, weak, or stupid to take care of themselves and the result is that there are few lazy, weak, or stupid creatures to be found and thankfully so. There is instead a high degree of *self-reliance* in the natural world and that self-reliance breeds and builds a better race: *for everybody*.

In Nature there is *racial* solidarity, not sexual solidarity. Thus one will never encounter, ever, the females of several different races banding together across racial lines to assert grievances against the males of their own respective races. Indeed, the very idea is almost laughable. The females of the crow, canary, and cardinal races do not stand together in solidarity with each other to demand their “reproductive rights” from the males of their own crow, canary, and cardinal races, for example. Female frogs and toads do not band together to protest their treatment at the “hands” of male frogs and toads. The females of the gray and red fox races do not form a “sisterhood” with one another against the power, privilege, or authority of the males of their own races. Rather, there is simply no crossover whatsoever between races on the basis of sex in Nature. Not once, in the entire history of the world, has a creature in the natural world identified itself more with its *sex* than with its *race*, nor have any of its creatures ever organized themselves on the basis of sex across racial lines. Instead, *race* is the only identity in Nature and each sex of that race simply fulfills the role that its instinct demands. There is no such thing as male or female dissatisfaction, only male and female *fulfillment*. The anatomy of the two sexes is used for the purposes for which they were biologically designed. There is no aversion on the part of females to be *mothers* and males to be *fathers*. On the contrary, the sexes are biologically propelled to make that happen and use any and all means available to help them bring that to fruition. There is no confusion as to which sex is which and what role belongs to it thereby. There is no such thing as the sexes being divided against one another in Nature or any kind of chasm existing between them otherwise. Rather, the sexes of each race are *united* for that race and the bringing forth of the next generation of it successfully.

Males and females thus exist for *breeding* purposes in the natural world. They do not view one another in the same manner because the role that each sex has to play in the life of the race is *not the same*. Rather, the purpose of the male is to *impregnate* and the purpose of the female is to be *impregnated*—all so that the race may continue

into the future. Thus *of course* males and females scrutinize one another from a sexual point of view in the natural world, for sex is the means to the end of racial preservation. There is a good reason then why the word “sex” is both a noun and a verb: the purpose of the sexes is sex! The males seek to impress the females with whatever attributes they possess in order to gain their favor; the females respond by allowing the males they desire to breed with them. There is no bizarre revulsion about these facts among the creatures of the natural world; rather, they are accepted and embraced. Pregnancies are carried to term because that is the natural course of things. Pregnancy is the goal of the copulative act. Every individual creature is judged by its race and its genetic quality within that race.

Lust, for its part, is no “sin” in the natural world. Rather it is the driver of all life, life that does not apologize for living. It is thus not some kind of harassment or insult for a male creature to look upon a female creature with copulation on his mind in the natural world. Rather that is a basic function of living itself. Since breeding is all important, *of course* the sexes look upon one another with breeding in mind. There is great discrimination as to *partners* to be sure, but not to the drive to procreate itself. There is obviously no such thing in the natural world as females “choosing” not to give birth to the offspring they themselves conspired to create. The price of pleasure rather, is *life*.

Whatever genetic defects arise in the natural world are quickly *culled*, not coddled. Three-legged calves are not handed a crutch but rather are left to *predators*. The weak are not pampered but rather are *pressured*. There is an instinctive aversion in all natural creatures for any defects which arise within their own kind, in their own gene pool, and, as a result, such defects are quickly stamped out instead of being allowed to be repeated throughout the generations and expand. Thus the race is always at its *best* at the given point in time in Nature from a genetic perspective. Defective offspring are abandoned by their mothers and, lacking that nurturing, never reach maturity so that the defect may be repeated. Thus the defect is stopped in its tracks. Thus in Nature there are no races that are genetically diseased, defective, or otherwise lacking in the ability to live a vigorous life. There are no masses of cripples, weaklings, or other sub-par beings who cannot take care of themselves in their maturity and thus pose a burden upon the rest of the race. Every race breeds true to type and unfavorable mutations are not allowed to breed at all. The race is hence always at the highest state that can be achieved at that moment.

Nature itself breeds every race to be its absolute best through the values of its world. The values of the natural world are themselves *racial* values in that they have the effect of preserving and improving each and every

race that exists within its realm, and not the mere individual who comes and goes in short order. Indeed, the individuals of the races in the natural world often seem to sacrifice themselves, and what seems to be their own individual best interests, in favor of the best interests of their own kind as a whole, whether in the immediate or long term sense. (A mother's sacrifice of herself for the well-being of her offspring is the most obvious and universal example of that, provided that it is healthy of course.) It is in that sense that *idealism* even exists within the animal world and is by no means a human invention. Worker bees work for the *hive* and not for themselves. Ants do the same. Animals routinely risk their individual lives for their young. Elephants will charge a threat to their herd. Prairie dogs will alert their brethren to the presence of birds of prey hovering about, thus risking their own individual lives in the process. Thus in the natural world, racial values routinely supersede individual values. Indeed, individual values are largely subordinate to these racial values and do not exist in any kind of separate sense. It would be difficult to find, for example, an individual creature in the natural world that is acting contrary to the best interests of its own kind. Instead, each creature in the natural world *fulfills* those best interests, its individual existence fitting within the context of those best interests. An individual creature may live a solitary life, for instance, but it is in the nature of its race that it does so. On the other hand, no individual creature in the natural world goes *against* the nature of its own kind; it does not adopt a different nature for itself altogether. Hence it lives within the context of the kind that it is and was born. These are the values of the natural world. It is unnecessary that any being created or chose them for itself. Rather, what matters is that these are the values of the natural world all the same, and everywhere throughout that world at that. All of the myriad races of Nature's realm live by these values. Thus it necessarily follows that these values must be beneficial to the preservation of those races for otherwise they *wouldn't* live by them. That is the beauty of Nature: what works, *is*, and what doesn't, *isn't*. If these were not good values as far as the preservation of the race is concerned, different values would exist or the races would have perished in the meantime. Intellectualism and rationalizing are thus irrelevant to the matter, particularly in light of the fact that most creatures in the natural world neither have intellect nor reasoning powers. Rather, the values of the natural world are inherently race-preservative by virtue of the very fact that every race of life on earth adheres to them as a matter of *instinct*; races preserve themselves through their instinctive (not rational) adoption of those values for otherwise different values would exist. The *instinct* of every creature impels it to embrace the same values as every other: *those values which preserve its own kind*. It is thus unnecessary that our

values come from a book, a god, or anything else outside the basis of our own raw genetic existence itself. Rather, within our instincts and within our genes *the values already exist*. They exist inherently within the races of men just as they exist inherently within the races of every other living creature.

It is also unnecessary that anybody or anything second-guess these values; indeed, it is foolhardy that they do so since it is a basic fact that all of the races of the natural world survive, thrive, and continually improve themselves by virtue of their obedience to them, whether they do so consciously or not. Only Man, in his arrogance and mental separation from the natural world that exists all around him, would think himself justified in creating an entire world order of things for himself which would defy all of the incontestable and undeniable values of the natural world which we have set forth in the pages above, but that is only because his intelligence has reached such a high level through the evolutionary process that he is able to imagine and subsequently adopt for himself other values altogether, as well as think of himself as a being who is somehow “above” the rules that happen to guide the lives of all of the other creatures upon the earth. That ability and adoption of different values, however, does not mean that he makes things better for himself! On the contrary, it is precisely the human races which live the most corrupt and confused lives of all creatures on earth. The races of the natural world always seem to know what they are doing, and what they are doing always seems to make *sense*, does it not? With the human races, on the other hand, foolishness, idiocy, and senselessness seem to be the rule rather than the exception. Human beings are constantly plagued by doubt in nearly all aspects of their lives unlike what goes on with all of the other races that exist. Man’s ability to think of himself as a being who is outside the strictures that guide the natural world thus does not make his conduct wise nor beneficial to his own existence whether in the short term or in the long run. Rather he cheats himself out of the happiness and psychological tranquility that could have been his had he simply adhered to the values of the natural world as he was instinctively inclined to do in the first place. Thus Man can defy the values of Nature for a time and even mock those values while he is doing so. However, Nature will have the last laugh and indeed, Man is actually punished for his Nature-defiance all along, for by living in such a way that is contrary, and indeed hostile, to the values of the natural world, he causes himself distress, degeneration, and degradation that were never meant to be his lot. However, he proceeds from disaster to disaster in the artificial existence that he has created for himself all the same, completely oblivious of that fact, for just as he is unaware of where he went wrong in the values that he has allowed to guide his life, he is ignorant altogether of the benefits that

could have accrued to him had he embraced natural values instead of the artificial values that have been foisted upon him by his fellow men.

The generic word “Man” is used above, true, but there can be no doubt but that it is the *White Race* specifically which has fallen victim to this error more than any other (separation from the values of the natural world in the exercise of its racial existence). All we need do is compare the values of the natural world with the values that guide our White people today to realize the full extent of the idiocy that has gripped our kind in disregard of its racial life. The entire society in which we live is grounded in values that are hostile to our continued racial existence instead of supporting it. How can we be surprised then that our White Race is dying out before our very eyes today when the very values that White people embrace are utterly devoid of any interest in preserving it, when “anti-racism” is in fact the “moral” world order in which our White people happen to live? Indeed, the simple fact of the matter is that “anti-racism” is nothing other than anti-*race*, namely *our* race, and thus instead of our rejoicing in our race and our racism as does every other creature in Nature’s realm, we have become bitter enemies of both and subverted our own existence accordingly. There can be no underestimating of the devolutionary chaos of thought and action which has gripped our kind in its world, especially today, yes, but really for thousands of years as well, for throughout our history we have engaged in attitudes and actions which have hurt our own kind in its struggle for life. Once we conjured up in our heads artificial values that no longer had anything to do with the natural world in which every other creature lives, we started on the road to our racial demise. We could have left that road on many an occasion but we had become so accustomed to it that no other road was *fathomable* to us anymore. Civilization itself de-natured us; indeed, we thought it to be “progress” for us *not* to be natural beings at all anymore and thus our natural being suffered as a result: our race and the individual beings that compose it. Again, we can ask ourselves why we should have ever divorced ourselves from the values of the natural world in the first place. We can ask ourselves why the artificial values of today—values which have been foisted upon us by the society in which we live—should be better than the natural values of the world in any respect, values which give the individual a sense of race and place in his world without confusion and without chaos. The answer, of course, is that they *aren’t* better and that the artificial world which we live in today is a *trashy* world, not a world of high value. Its values are neither worthy of our allegiance, our tolerance, nor our subsidy. The entire order of things in which we live today is anti-Nature and therefore anti-life. We have to decide then whether we as a race are going to persist in our embrace

of today's artificial values to our eventual racial extinction or whether we are going to finally discard them and rejoin the rest of the creatures of this earth in the triumph of our particular racial life. We have to decide whether we will allow our values to serve *our racial existence* once again the same way as they do for all of the other creatures of the (natural) world or whether we are going to allow our race to continue to serve our "values" to its ultimate downfall.

It is important though that we show where our White people have gone wrong in their values in all of that detail—both in this chapter and in the rest of this book—and that we show exactly how the present society in which we live is a perversion of the natural society in which we ought to live in all of those respects in which that is in fact the case. That may well already be obvious from our mere setting forth of the values of the natural world in the pages above but nevertheless an analysis of how things are (and aren't) in the present society of ours in which we live in their various particulars will drive the point home with even more, overwhelming clarity.

First of all, racial discrimination among men is always justified since it is embraced by every other form of life in this world; we as White people *always* have the right to treat different races different than we treat ourselves just as does every other race in the natural world. We are creatures of *Nature* just as they are and *Nature* provides every race with its moral values: the benefit of one's own kind, period. That is the only moral compass by which every race upon the face of this earth is guided, and it is silliness to think that the human races should be the only exceptions in that regard of all of the countless races that exist. Rather, racial preservation *requires* racial discrimination: favoritism towards one's own kind in its struggle for life. Thus, not only is it not "immoral" to racially discriminate but it is immoral *not* to racially discriminate. Every other race of creature upon the face of the earth discriminates racially and what is not immoral for *them* cannot be immoral for *us*. On the contrary, it is immoral for us *not* to discriminate in favor of our own kind and fulfill its best interests in every respect just as every other race of creature does upon the face of this planet. Just as every other race of creature seeks to benefit its own kind and its own kind alone, so too must we for otherwise that benefit will suffer. The drive to benefit one's own kind exclusively is endemic to the entire natural world and there is no indication that our White Race was ever meant, by a conscious force or not, to be exempt from the universality of that drive. Our racial benefit is in fact acquired only through the exclusive furtherance of our own best interests: i.e. discrimination between ourselves as a race and all of the other races that exist.

There is thus simply no such thing as immoral racial discrimination; rather, all racial discrimination is moral, justified, and natural for us as White people no differently than it is for the countless races of mammals, insects, amphibians, fish, plants, and all of the other forms of life that likewise exist upon this planet. There is our race, White people, and there is everything else. Our race is *ours* and everything else is *not* ours. Just as all of the other races of life upon this planet discriminate in favor of their own respective kinds without objection, so should the races of men. Just as there is not a single “color-blind” race in Nature, our own White Race should not be “color-blind” either. Rather it should favor its own kind as do all the rest. Since all of the laws of the natural world are geared towards the preservation of those races that exist within its realm, only a *foolish* race would choose to defy those laws and thus cause its own demise. That is the situation, of course, that our own White Race faces today due to its failure to discriminate on its own behalf. There is simply no such thing as a race maintaining its own existence if it fails to discriminate in its own favor and instead aids, abets, and breeds with those races who are not of its own kind. Rather it will be killed, mongrelized, and otherwise extinguished from the face of the earth and in short order at that. *Nature* is the true moral and ethical compass in this world, not the artificial doctrines invented by men, because it is loyalty to *Nature* and her laws which enables a race to preserve itself, an outcome that must be deemed more important than anything else for the reasons we have stated: that a race has to first *live* before it bothers itself with the various facets of its *life*. Since the races of men can become extinct just as surely as all of the other races of life that exist should they fail to follow the rules by which that life is preserved, it behooves our own race then to follow those values of the natural world that would instead enable it to live on and on and not die. We as men are thus inherently a *part* of Nature just as much as anything else is, for our White Race can and will die just like all the others if it should fail to embrace those values of the natural world which in fact enable the respective races of life to preserve themselves. It is not some kind of coincidence or mistake, after all, that every race in the natural world is racist, discriminating in its own favor in all things and at all times as it goes about its business. That is because racism and discrimination are beneficial to racial preservation: by excluding other races from its world, the race *survives*. Every race in Nature does what is necessary to preserve itself; so too must we as White people if we would at least value our own existence as a race the same way as all of the other races of the world do. Without the preservation of one’s own race, whatever other values that one might assert become a bit beside the point. That

is because Nature herself decrees that *racial* life is the highest value, not the life of the individual who must surely die.

It follows then that the entire “multiracial” State, society, and country that we as White people live in today are an abomination of the values of the natural world and hence of our racial existence. They have no validity whatsoever and must be replaced if we mean for our race to live. There is no State that commands our obedience if it fails to *favor* our own White Race with its policies and *disfavor* the non-white races with its policies. That is because every race naturally favors *itself*, whether with its “State” or with any other political order of things that exists. There is no society that we can deem worthwhile if it is composed of multiple races of men. That is because all societies are composed of only *one* race in Nature. There is no “anti-racist” country that is worth the sacrifice of our lives. That is because a country has value in proportion only to whether it enables our own *race* to survive and thrive as the race that it is. There is no respect or honor owed to the armed forces of a multiracial country. That is because Nature has decreed that the entire point of having fighting forces in the first place is to defend the *race* alone and its life, not a mongrel society, State, or country. In sum, everything that breaks down the barriers between the races—as multiracialism surely does—is reprehensible, disgusting, and sick. That is because Nature has decreed that all of the races of life of this world be totally separate from one another in their psyche and society in order that they may preserve themselves. Preservation of a race is *healthy* but the multiracial society that our White people are forced to live in today clearly does not preserve our own kind: our culture, our genes, and our lives. Thus it is *sick* and therefore *bad*. Only the homogeneous society, State, and country are worthy of our esteem, our respect, and our allegiance. That is because it exists for *us* and the survival and advancement of our *kind* as decreed by the values of the natural world. *Nature* points the way and it is that *Nature* which should be obeyed, not the arbitrary and artificial dictates of men who act in total ignorance of the natural world that exists all around them thus causing the demise of their own kind. When it is an undeniable fact that the values of the natural world facilitate the preservation of those races which exist within its realm, it can only be deemed the height of stupidity that our own White Race should be forced to accept an order of things which would instead expel it from the face of the earth. For Nature has decreed that races preserve themselves by *excluding* those not of their own kind from their societies and from their ethical values, not including them for the sake of the merely arbitrary values that can be fabricated by men. We can be disgusted by interracial breeding because *Nature* is disgusted by it. We can be disgusted by

interracial societies because *Nature* is disgusted by them. We can be disgusted by all “coming together of the races” because no such “coming together” of the races ever occurs in the natural world. We need not impress upon ourselves values which are hostile to the values of that world. Rather we can run with them in all of their love, all of their hate, and all of their splendor.

Thus when a White man refuses to hire an individual of different race, he is of course doing right, for when, in the entire history of the natural world, has a member of one race of creature employed for pay that of another? Thus when a White man refuses to rent a room in his house to an individual of different race, he is likewise of course doing right, for when, in the entire history of the natural world, has a member of one race allowed a member of a different race to live in his home? Such a thing is preposterous on its face, and yet the societies in which we as White people live would demand of us that we engage in such preposterous conduct all the time just because we are “human beings” and thus presumably somehow bereft of the sensibilities and indeed instincts that guide all of the other creatures of this earth. Somehow or other those other creatures have the right to be exactly who and what they naturally are, living life as they please for their own kind, while our own White Race is compelled to be a *servant* race to the non-white human races of the world, shearing away its own natural self in every way just to appease an artificial social and political order of things that isn’t worth anything in the first place. For once again, we can say that an order of things which does not even preserve our racial *existence* is unworthy of our esteem either, for it will have failed in the most basic task of every society that exists, whether that of man or beast: the preservation of one’s kind. It does not take a rocket scientist, after all, to realize and recognize that our White Race is not preserved within a multiracial order of things. Rather its culture and its genetic stock are broken down altogether and destroyed as is apparent to all those who are still able to recognize their own kind as the innately unique, precious, and distinct race that it is, a race that is responsible for very nearly all of that which we call progress on this earth. No one has ever been able to explain how multiracialism is good for *White* people; that is because it *isn’t* good for White people, quite simply, who become swamped by their racial inferiors and gradually cease to exist all because they had failed to subscribe to the values of the natural world in the first place, values which always aim at the preservation and betterment of one’s own *kind* and for its own sake. How difficult is it to understand that inferior races can only bring the White Man *down* if he is foolish enough to let them inundate the civilization he has built?

How difficult is it to understand that resistance to racial integration is not only a *right* but a *duty*? The duty of racial preservation with which every creature is naturally imbued?

When the German people set fire to non-white so-called “asylum shelters,” for instance, they are only doing that which their nature *compels* that they do: resist the invasion of their territory by hostile, competitive, enemy races the same way as every race in the natural world would do if it had the power. When the Ku Klux Klan proclaimed a desire to protect White womanhood from the sexual predations of the black race, it was only doing what comes naturally to a race that cares about itself: stop the destruction of its blood. When the White pioneer in the American West expressed the opinion that “the only good Indian is a dead Indian,” he was only opining what every other race upon the earth would express were it too locked in violent conflict with a rival, competitive race. When White people around the world today demand that the borders of their countries be closed, they are only expressing a sentiment that comes naturally to every race that exists: that its habitat be rendered safe for *itself*. The current, artificial society in which we live today would have us snuff out these inherent feelings of ours and destroy our very nature as men in the process. We do not, however, have to oblige. The inherent sensibilities that exist within us are as rightful as those existing within every other race of creature, and just as those other races have a natural aversion for rival races entering their habitats, so too do we. It has never been necessary for even a single White man to justify his aversion regarding the presence of the non-white races in his society, State, and country. Rather, the drive for the preservation of his own kind—a drive that every other natural creature possesses likewise—compels it. He beholds races that are alien to his own and which, due to their capacity for rivalry, can only harm that which he naturally is, that which he naturally creates, and deprive him of that which belongs to his own kind alone. Indeed, he beholds beings who will cause the genetic displacement of his own kind within the territory in question altogether. His culture suffers by virtue of the presence of these alien races, as do his genes by virtue of their contamination with theirs; the lives of his racial brethren are also outright killed by beings who never should have been allowed near his own kind in the first place. Thus his aversion regarding the intrusion of these alien races only comes naturally to him as part of his drive to preserve his own kind. He desires to fend off this attack upon that which he holds dear: himself. There is nothing to be gained by his being mixed with these alien races, for mixture can only dilute, confound, and confuse that which he naturally is; indeed, it erases his true identity as the White man

that he is and the biological existence of his kind altogether. He loses his freedom to be what he is, to live in accordance with his own nature and ultimately, to live itself.

Again, every value of the natural world exists for a clear, overwhelming, and necessary *reason*: it preserves the race in question, for if that were not the case, the values would be different. Thus to go against the values of the natural world within our race means to eliminate the very mechanism that is necessary for its continued preservation. What appear to be lofty thoughts to those who have not thought the matter through to its logical conclusion—such as “racial equality,” “racial tolerance,” and “racial diversity” for instance—only usher a race towards its own demise in contravention of those natural values which would have enabled it to live on instead. We forget about what matters to every other race of creature that exists: the preservation of its kind. From copulation to actual reproduction, from nourishment to the acquisition of shelter, and from self-defense to the abhorrence of any kind of interracial society whatsoever, the races of the natural world strive instinctively and unambiguously for one thing: the preservation of their respective *kinds*. *That* is the meaning of life for every creature that exists, and the race is successfully preserved by virtue of that fact. It is unnecessary then to search for a “spiritual” or “transcendent” meaning of life. Rather, the meaning of life is already present within the instincts of every living creature: the preservation (and advancement) of its kind! All means are justified for that purpose, so long as they actually accomplish that purpose, and the inherent sensibilities of a race (our instincts) are always right in that regard. It is our *instincts* that are right, not the artificial values with which we have been indoctrinated, for those instincts are an evolutionary tool that exists in every creature for the preservation of its kind without which continued life is impossible. Every instinct that we possess is a *survival mechanism* and it would be folly, therefore, for us to disregard them lightly if we are ever to disregard them at all.

Thus, when the current mongrel society of ours tells us, “don’t be racist,” it is telling us to defy the inherent nature of every living thing that exists. When it tells us to “integrate” with the other races, it is telling us to defy our natural instincts, instincts which demand the society of our own kind alone just as they do with every other natural creature. When it prides itself in its racial “diversity,” it fails to realize that such diversity is an absolute perversion of the natural order of things and that its pride is misplaced accordingly. When it chastises, or even persecutes, our White people for being racially discriminatory, it is attacking us for merely fulfilling our inherent nature as creatures of this earth. We are attacked in the very root of our existence and denied the peace of mind that comes from being

true to who and what we naturally are. It is *normal* for us not to want blacks, browns, yellows, and other races around us or part of our society. It is *normal* for us to discriminate racially the same way as every other race does in the natural world without exception. Conversely, the artificial values that are impressed upon us today are profoundly *abnormal* for the very reason that they are so contrary to the values of the natural world that exists all around us. We have inflicted a needless trauma upon ourselves by denying ourselves the right to live in accordance with the values of that natural world.

To be sure, our natural racist sentiments regarding the other races of men have been wrongly maligned and withheld from us, which has caused us great harm in its own right. However, we must also consider what damage has also been inflicted upon our relations with *one another* as the White people that we are by virtue of our failure to heed the values of the natural world, values which instill a racially unifying psyche within the creatures of her realm. For instead of being *united* for the survival and thrival of our (White) race throughout our history as the other creatures of the world have been for their own respective races, we have found ourselves divided by countless artificial ideologies, attitudes, and causes that have torn us apart. By not realizing that *racial survival and thrival* is the very meaning and purpose of our lives, we have erected in its stead divisions of the *mind* within our people that are nowhere to be found in Nature, divisions which have continued to this day and which sap our racial life. Where in Nature, we can ask ourselves, are there ideologies, attitudes, and causes which divide a race against itself such as “liberalism,” “conservatism,” “communism,” “feminism,” “nationalism,” “theism,” “capitalism,” “individualism,” “globalism,” “gay rights,” “transgender rights,” and every other *non-racial* mindset and crusade under the sun? The answer is that in Nature such things do not exist! Rather they are a (sad) invention of *men*, men who took their eyes off of the natural world that exists all around them and the values of that world which revolve around the *race* and *only* the race. Just because White men can think, and do so at a much higher level than the other races which exist upon this planet, does not mean that they should divide one another on the basis of their thoughts. Rather the values of the natural world—including solidarity for the race as the one and only “cause” of its members—should have remained our values too. There is, after all, no such thing as division within a race on ideological grounds in Nature. Rather, every race stands united for the survival and thrival of its own kind. That is its “cause.” That is its mission. That is its direction. Only *interracial* division exists in the natural world: the separation of each race from one another in its struggle for life. Within the race there is *unity*: for itself.

We can therefore lament all of the ideological schisms and other conflicts which have occurred and existed within our kind throughout its history. We can lament that White people were ever divided into enemy camps on the basis of their *thoughts*, the mere thoughts that transpired between their ears. We can lament that White people were ever divided up between “the proletariat and the bourgeois” under the artificial (Jewish) ideology of communism, for instance. We can lament that a wedge was driven between our men and women by the equally artificial ideology of “feminism,” a belief system that is likewise nowhere to be found in the natural world. We can lament that there are White people who care more about the environment (“environmentalism”) than their own race which lives in that environment. We can lament that there are White people who put more faith in the (alleged) world of gods in the sky than in the natural world which exists all around them, and who would believe that their natural racism as the race that they *are* should be overruled thereby. We can lament that there are White people who would devote themselves to the proposition of “gay and transgender rights” when there is no such thing in the natural world as “gays” and “transgenders” in the first place—except for a miniscule number of freaks, perhaps—and when their existence among men is merely a sign of Man’s growing degeneracy. We can lament that White people would divide themselves between “liberalism” and “conservatism” when the only “ism” in the natural world is the *racism* with which every race is instinctively imbued. We can lament that there are White people who deem themselves beholden to “tradition” regardless of whether the particular tradition in question happens to be good or bad for their own kind. We can lament that there are White people who care more about what is happening on the opposite side of the globe with the non-white races than with the situation facing their own kind in their own communities. Rather the only consideration for our people should have been that *kind* and the implementation of those actions which would enable it to preserve and advance itself as the race that it is in this world. That is a principle of *unity*, not division; of *solidarity*, not diffuseness. We could have had the peace, harmony, and unity that comes from having the *same* meaning and purpose in all of our lives: the furtherance of our kind.

The races of the natural world simply live in *accordance* with the values of that world, and there is no reason why we as men should contrive and interject values that are alien to, or which contradict, the values of that world. Racial furtherance is the overarching value, the *raison d’être*, for all of the values that exist within that world; there is no reason why things should be any different with us. The only “ideology” that exists in the natural world is the “ideology” of racial survival and thrival. The races of the natural world do not argue with one another

about how many angels can dance upon the head of a pin. They do not suppose that economics is (somehow) the key to history. They do not assert that their females have a supposed “right to choose” whether to carry their offspring. They do not base their theory of government upon the supposed “freedoms of the individual.” They do not love their country at the expense of their own *kind* which occupies it. They do not launch stupid foreign wars for “democracy” or for the supposed “rights” of races that are different from them. They do not adhere to the sentiment that aberrant conduct is entitled to “equal dignity” with that which is normal and creative of the next generation of their kind. They do not determine right or wrong by majority vote; indeed, what is right comes *naturally* to them and what is wrong is automatically despised by *all*. There is no conflict within them as to what the proper policy is to pursue or what the proper attitude is to assume. Rather *their life is their kind and their kind is their life!* They fulfill their nature as the organic, living beings that they *are* and that nature is always *right*. Life is simple for them because it *is*.

We can recall with horror then all of the countless wars and struggles that have been fought within our White Race over religion, over national differences, over political differences, over treasure, over personal ego, and so forth and ask ourselves: would these wars have still occurred had we been true to the values of the natural world? We already know the sad answer to that, for in Nature such things do not occur within any race. Rather the enemies of a race are the *other* races, not its own racial brethren! Thus we can lament the vast sufferings and devastations that our race has inflicted upon itself from time immemorial, for whatever urge for slaughter that we may have felt within our breasts should have been directed at those *not* of our own kind, if at all. Had we done that all along, had we waged war for centuries only against the *non-white* races whenever we waged it, and with united racial purpose at that, the colored races of the world would have been rendered extinct a long time ago, the White Man would possess the whole earth himself for the prosperity and glory of his own kind, and the sick travesty of our impending demise as a race that we are facing today would have never occurred. Those who would disparage the very idea of “race war” should at least be called to answer whether the countless wars that White people have waged against *themselves* are any better, and what it is about race war that is so anathema to their conscience while the wars that have been waged within their own kind are worthy of their exuberant praise. Let them answer why it is better for our White people to clash with *themselves* instead of with the other races, unlike what goes on with every other race of creature upon the face of the earth. If we live in accordance with the values of the natural world on the other

hand, no such backwards, fratricidal, and indeed suicidal thinking is possible. Instead we recognize that it is against the *other* races of men that we should have waged war and that there is no good war that *isn't* interracial in nature. We furthermore recognize that no State may ever legitimately call us to arms against the members of our own kind. Gone are the usual justifications for war and the ability of the State and its spokesmen to inveigle us into war with members of our own kind over artificial issues having nothing to do with our racial survival and thrival. Gone are the wars that can only hurt our own racial future and strengthen the foes of that future. Instead, whatever war-making power of the State rests upon whether the war in question is to be waged against our *non-white* racial enemies. Adherence to the values of the natural world can decree no other attitude and no other course. If we are to wage war, it is the *non-whites* who must be the fallen. If we are to wage war, it is *the White Race* that must be expanded and advanced thereby and no other "cause."

Thus our people wonder why there is so much civil strife in their society without realizing that they have been deprived of the only thing that could have united them instead: a homogeneous society devoted to the furtherance of their own kind as the very meaning and purpose of its existence. *That* is what unites a people, not an amorphous belief in "freedom," including the very "freedoms" that would divide a race against itself. How true it is then that we have cheated ourselves out of the happiness that was meant to be ours, the happiness that comes when all of our brethren believe in the *same* cause: the cause of their racial furtherance, with the individual merely serving as a means to that end! For only when we place the best interests of our whole race before that of our own individual selves can we ever have a society that is without the strife that is bound to come with the clash of the individual best interests that compose it. Only when we have subordinated the individual to the race of which he is a part can we end the strife that results from each individual's pursuance of his own ego. After all, while we only have one race, we have countless individuals who compose it. It follows then that total racial feeling in each and every individual *unites* a people while the belief in the individual and his "freedoms" must necessarily break it apart. There can only be unity in a people when they are united in the one attribute that they all share independent of any thoughts that may go through their heads: the commonality of their blood and the furtherance of its culture, genes, and lives in this world. The current society would put all of its focus on the "freedoms of the individual" and yet wonder why those individuals are so divided from one another. Well *of course* they are divided from one another when they have failed to be united for their *kind*. Their *kind* is the only point of unity that can exist, for that is the

only thing they have in common independent of whatever opinions on things they may otherwise hold. People are far more likely to have their particular *race* in common than their particular *opinions* in common, after all. Hence the potential for civil strife is much greater in a society where all racial feeling in the people has been quashed and the freedoms of the individual been substituted in its stead as its ethos. There is no such thing as a unified and a diversified, individualistic people at the same time. The very racist sentiments that would be so thoughtlessly condemned today in our kind are thus actually a bond that helps to hold a race together through the trials and tribulations of its past, present, and future. Antipathy towards the rival races with which we are confronted comes as naturally as water falling off of a cliff. It also serves to band that race together like “individualism” never can.

The matter is extraordinarily simple because it is. The troubles that exist in today’s world are artificial and hence unnecessary. There should not be “White police officer shootings of unarmed black men” because neither race should be within each other’s society. There should be no mixed-race children born among men because no mixed-race creatures are born in Nature. There should be no “balancing of competing interests” in multiracial societies because there *are* no multiracial societies in Nature. There should be no more talk about the so-called “inalienable rights” of men because such a concept is devoid of meaning in Nature. Females do not intentionally kill their unborn progeny in Nature and so they shouldn’t kill their unborn progeny within our own White Race. There are no governments composed of members of different races in Nature and so such things should not exist with us. There is no “bringing together of the races” in Nature and so such a thing should not happen with us. There are no religions, ideologies, philosophies, or politics in Nature which unite the races and so such things should not exist with us either. *Nature’s Eternal Religion*, rather, is the instinctive sentiment which binds every race to its own kind, its own best interests, and its own future. No religion, ideology, philosophy, or politics which gazes up at the clouds and which would “reinvent” Man into a raceless creature has ever been necessary, desirable, or warranted. Rather the natural world, in all its beauty, in all its life, and in all its death, is the basis for the only religion, ideology, philosophy, or politics that we ever needed in our pursuit of what is true, what is right, and what is holy on this earth. With every issue, *Nature* thus points the way, and nothing else is needed but a value system which fulfills the values that every other race of creature lives by inherently and which benefits and advances our racial, not individual, existence throughout the eons of time. Of course human beings have superior intelligence to that of the other creatures that exist in this world. However, that is no cause for our jettisoning the inherent values

which enable us to survive and thrive. No one has ever been able to show that the artificial values conjured up in the heads of men are better than the *racial* values that guide the lives of the rest of the (non-domesticated) creatures that likewise inhabit this planet. This is because they *aren't*, of course, by virtue of the only measure that can matter to a race in the long run: survival. Indeed, if values are not conducive to racial survival, they are *bad* values; if they *are* conducive to racial survival, they are *good* values, quite simply. That is because only the race may live on while the individual must perish.

We can, then, only marvel at the idiocy of the present society that exists all around us. People subscribe to artificial belief systems at their whim and allow those belief systems to guide their entire lives. People put de-natured substances into their bodies and wonder why they are in ill-health. People condemn as “hate” the very instincts, emotions, and attitudes that every race in the natural world takes for granted as the very epitome of a normal life. People condemn within themselves their own inherent nature and then seek to displace that nature existing within others. Left without a yardstick that is true to the measure of the world as it naturally is, they are left floundering in discontent, stupidity, and ignorance as to its cause.

Governments express concern about the state of race relations between their citizens without ever stopping to consider the fact that there *are* no such things as “interracial societies” in Nature, and hence that the true problem is not “race relations” per se but the fact that the races have been brought together under the same socio-political order in the first place. *Of course* the races of men are discomforted by each other’s presence within the same society—whether they will admit that to themselves or not—when their association with each other goes so strongly against their natural instincts in the first place. *Of course* the races of men will be inclined to treat each other differently than they treat themselves since it is the natural instinct of all living creatures to do so. *Of course* there will be problems in trying to reconcile the best interests of the various races when those best interests are all different from one another (what we *have*, the other races *want*)! *Of course* there is societal chaos and anarchy when people fail to look to the only inherent, stable, and everlasting guide and authority for living in this world that there is: Nature. Even more important though is the fact that any and all social and political orders created by men which are *not* based upon the values of the natural world lack any legitimacy or right to our allegiance or obedience in the first place! Nature, after all, does not care about majority votes. Nature does not care about the edicts of supposed religious leaders, those religions *not* being grounded in the values of the natural world. Nature does not care about

the strokes of pens applied in would-be defiance of her laws. Rather, Nature only cares about that which corresponds to her values and that which does not. The distinction is clear and meaningful for those who would seek to live a life that possesses purpose and meaning, for eternity. The distinction is meaningful for those who would long to live under a social-political order that corresponds to the instincts and inborn desires that are at the root of their very soul. For those who become conscious of the values of the natural world, and who believe that those values should be obeyed by the races of men no differently than they are obeyed by all of the rest of the races of life on this earth, the mask of legitimacy is thus pulled off of all governments and other institutions which would lead our kind to its premature doom. Since it is our resolve that our *race* must be preserved, and at any and all costs to the individual at that, their threats of punishment or offers of enrichment in the effort to keep us beholden to their unnatural, indeed anti-natural social-political order of things do not faze us. After all, we as individuals have only a limited life span anyway while our race can go on forever; nothing they can do to us individually then can equal the value which we can bestow upon our race which can live on for thousands and even millions of years after we ourselves are gone. That indeed is the imperative of the values of the natural world: that the *race* live on, not the individual, and thus it is that which enables *that* to happen which is just, right, and sanctified. While the values of the natural world are many, it is undeniable that preservation of the *race* is at the root of all of them. All of the other values thus revolve around that overarching value and serve it. The values of the natural world serve the preservation of each and every race within that world even when the particular value in question does not seem “racial” on its face.

When a race in Nature culls its genetically defective members, for instance, it prevents its kind from absorbing those genetic traits which would weaken it in its struggle for life. Not only is the race thus better preserved thereby, but the absence of proliferating genetic defects within it enables the race to continually improve itself as well. Life is *worse* for the race that fails to do so for so-called “humanitarian” reasons, like the White Race. So-called “charity” itself—a sentiment and activity that is entirely absent in Nature—does not help a race preserve and advance itself; rather it only results in a greater and greater burden being imposed upon the productive population, thus weakening the race in the process in its struggle for life. It is simply unheard of in Nature for the weak or substandard to be coddled in any way. Indeed, the weak and substandard are inherently *despised*. The result of that sentiment, of course, is that the weak and substandard are quickly removed from the gene pool and

such suffering is kept to an absolute minimum. With our White Race on the other hand, the weak and substandard *become* our gene pool, and suffering is *maximized*, as a result of our foolish “humanitarian” coddling. Our desire to help the weak and substandard thus hurts the race itself. Alleviation of the distress of individuals in their mere transitory lives makes the race progressively worse and worse in its infinite existence.

What does this mean for our present “humanitarian” society which actually has the audacity to claim that the weak and the inferior “deserve” the sustenance of the rest of us, that they have the “right” to propagate themselves, and that a god in the sky will “bless” us if only we shower them with our charity? It means that the society is no damn good! What does it mean that we possess a society where every freak is tolerated, every abuse of our race is praised, and every threat to our race is propagated? It means that the present society must be dismantled and replaced if we mean for our race to live.

Let us say clearly then where the current order of things is in error. It is in error when it denies our White Race a thoroughly racial existence. It is in error when it forces our kind to live in the same society, and same government, as those not of our own kind. It is in error when it attacks our right or, better said, our *inclination* to favor our own kind and to disfavor those who are *not* of our own kind. It is wrong to include any other race within our society and government. It is wrong in its exaltation of individuality over raciality. It is wrong when it exults a loyalty to *space* over that of loyalty to *race*. It is wrong when it salutes military service to and sacrifice for a “country” while having absolutely no regard for the concept of fighting for our *race*. It is wrong when it enacts laws that go against our natural instincts in every way, when it forces us to, in effect, *betray* our natural selves in favor of its artificial social-political order. It is wrong when it substitutes merit for “need.” It is wrong when it taxes our own race to feed, clothe, house, and educate races that are *not* our own. It is wrong when it claims that ideals matter more than *blood*; it is wrong when it denies the genetic basis that underlies the quality of all existence. It is wrong when it caters to the racially destructive abnormalities within our race, such as homosexuality, “transgenderism,” and other perversions, instead of attacking and stamping out those perversions. It is wrong when it makes the superior apologetic for their own greatness. It is wrong when it breaks down the natural and distinct roles of the sexes in favor of an amorphous haze of individual whim. It is wrong in its regard for the individual at the total expense of the race and all those values which would preserve and advance it in this world.

It is wrong when it presents a child as a “choice.” It is wrong when it presents men and women as being the same as one another except for their different genitalia. It is wrong when it attempts to feminize men and *de-feminize* women, pushing our men to be more like women and our women to be more like men. It is wrong when it *de-sexualizes the sexes*, and when it presents the sex drive itself in a perverted fashion throughout its media, a fashion that is geared entirely to the fulfillment of individual pleasure instead of for the basic sustenance of our racial life and the celebration of that life. It is wrong when it divorces sex from the duty of *racial preservation*, presenting it instead as a matter of transitory individual choice no more important than say the purchase of a light bulb or the viewing of a TV soap opera. It is wrong when it compels our women to work outside the home against their natural inclination, all so that our people can more successfully “compete” with the non-white coolie labor of the world that we never should have been forced to compete with in the first place. It is wrong when it persuades, pressures, and prescribes our women to forgo the best years of their procreation and mothering of our kind only so that they may be made to think and act as *men* do, all in deference to a phony ideal of “equality” which actually *degrades* women instead of uplifting them and which can only destroy race and sex alike. It is wrong when it crushes the natural feelings that we have as the respective sexes that we *are*, choosing to punish those feelings with social opprobrium and economic hardship. It is wrong when it gives our men *a bad conscience* for openly desiring women as *breeding partners* and it is wrong when it gives our women *a bad conscience* for *not* wanting a “career” outside the home. It is wrong when it sets our men and women against one another out of misplaced expectations from the respective sexes, denying them their true nature so that men and women can be rendered “the same.” It is wrong when it fails to recognize that man’s duty is to be a good *husband* and woman’s duty is to be a good *mother*, all in the service of a better and better race for tomorrow.

It is likewise wrong, of course, when it asserts that there is no difference between the human races besides the color of their skin. It is wrong when it says that race is a “cultural construct” when the fact of the matter is that culture is a *racial* construct. It is wrong when it would demand a racially diverse society at the expense of the diversity of *life*. It is wrong when it fails to instill and embrace within our people the racial psyche that is natural to every living creature. It is wrong when it assumes that the best interests of each race of men can ever be fulfilled in common. It is wrong when it creates, maintains, and extols States whose basis is *not* the exclusive welfare of our

own kind. It is wrong when it views the State as the protector of the rights of (raceless) individuals; it is wrong when it fails to appreciate that the purpose of the State is to protect the *race*.

It is wrong when it attacks those emotions which are conducive to racial preservation, such as hatred and intolerance. It is wrong when it seeks to instill a bad conscience in our people when they harbor such emotions; it is wrong when it would seek to punish them by law or otherwise for having those emotions. It is wrong when it would foist religions upon the psyche of our people which would give them, likewise, a bad conscience for being true to the values of the natural world; it is wrong for pretending that there is some other world someplace which could be more important than the present, natural world in which we live. It is wrong in assuming that human choice is more important than natural living. It is wrong in its promotion of human freedom over our continued racial life. It is wrong in its belief that multiracial “voting” should be allowed to determine our racial destiny. It is wrong to deem a “constitution” more important than a *kind*, our kind. It is wrong when it presumes the existence someplace of a law that is higher than the Eternal Laws of Nature, and those values that guide all of the life of its world.

We can say all of this because Nature already says it. It is *Nature* that matters, not artificial values, societies, States, and laws that men, due to their greater capacity for imagination, are able to conjure up in their heads out of thin air. The artificial, destructive world that men have created for themselves is not the only world out there, thus binding them to it accordingly. Rather another, better world still exists: the world in which all of the other creatures live true to their instincts as the natural, racial creatures that they are.

Thus when we feel ourselves uncomfortable at the sight of a group of blacks coming towards us on a street, for example, that is not because somebody “taught” us to think negatively about the black race; rather that is a reflection of our natural instinct that activates itself when we are confronted with a group of individuals, a pack, of another, rival race. When we find ourselves uncomfortable in the presence of homosexuals, that is because homosexuality likewise offends our *natural* instincts so thoroughly. In Nature, rival races are *enemies*, not fellow citizens, and homosexuality is practically unknown. So, *of course* we are “prejudiced” against the presence of rival races and homosexuals; that is because our *instincts* inherently view them with disfavor. The question then becomes: why should we be compelled to forfeit the natural instincts (feelings) that we were all born with in favor of conformance with a social-political order of things that is hostile, for whatever reason, to those natural instincts? Why should Man alone see it as his duty to go against the values that are held by all of the other races upon the face

of the earth? Why should we, in other words, throw the values of the natural world overboard just because we are men?

Our doing so only results in our being discordant, discontented, and emotionally oppressed human beings and, what's more, we fail to preserve the presence of our race upon this earth. Whatever value there is in social conformity to an artificial order of things pales in comparison to the damage that we do to ourselves by virtue of the oppression of our true nature and the consequences of that oppression. A full panoply of feelings is intrinsic to the human soul; the subjugation of those feelings that are race-preservative can only result in the eventual subjugation and destruction of the race itself. A race that is confused, diffused, and abused cannot live for long; we must recall that the tenure of the White Race upon the face of this earth has just begun when we look at it in the vast scheme of things. We thus cannot afford to allow the present course of things to continue unchecked if we do not wish for the life of our people to be strangled in its cradle. We owe it to our ancestors and descendants instead that we be true to those values which will *continue* the line of the former and *enable* the line of the latter, and that means the suppression of *none* of those feelings and sentiments which come naturally to us coupled with an understanding that the world was never *meant* to be a "safe space" for contented, coddled social weaklings but rather an arena for the victory of the vigorous and the strong. We don't *have* to tread lightly for fear of giving offense to those artificial values which have been impressed upon us, nor those who are benefitted by such values. Rather we can look to Nature as our guide and respond to the events of the world as our instincts say we should.

Hatred and intolerance, for example, come naturally to all higher organisms. Indeed, the more intelligent the race, the more reason it has to *hate* that which threatens and damages that which it has created and toiled for in its world; after all, it has the intellectual ability to understand that the damage to its creative accomplishments need not have occurred and is thus no mere accident. When a man stomps upon an anthill, the ants underneath his boot have no clue at all as to what just happened; on the other hand, when the White Man beholds the degeneration and downfall of his culture, civilization, and blood itself, he has the ability to grasp who or what is to blame. Thus *of course* hatred and intolerance will naturally arise within his breast in reaction to the demise with which he is threatened. Hatred and intolerance are thus *survival* mechanisms—whether for the race or for the individuals that compose it—and we can therefore recognize how silly and destructive it truly is to allow those survival mechanisms to be driven out of our people with a whip. What folly it is to scorn those feelings and emotions which enable a race

and its members to survive! When a foolish person cries out that we “shouldn’t hate,” what he is really saying then is that we shouldn’t *live*.

The whole idea then that we shouldn’t have animosity towards anything or anyone in a world filled with competing interests and struggles to the death is a lunacy that a race can ill-afford if it means to live. Regarding our own White Race—a race that we happen to be a part of for better or worse—the problem then is that we have been suckered out of and left bereft of those feelings, emotions, and actions that would enable us to in fact preserve and advance our own kind. We have conformed to a way of things that is hostile to our very continued existence upon the face of this planet, as well as to our very nature as the individuals that we are. The societies in which our White people live do not preserve nor advance their cultural, genetic, or biological existence and are disloyal, absolutely, to the values of the natural world that we have set forth. Those values, values that are incessantly race preserving and race advancing, have been denied to our own kind. We behold a society where the racially pure is chastised and the racially mixed is praised. We behold a society where “country” matters more than kind. We behold a society that favors the weak and the inferior at the expense of the strong and the superior. We behold a society that deems itself exempt, somehow, from the values and laws that guide all of the rest of the life of this world. We allowed a virile, dynamic race that was once in tune with and embracing of the values of the natural world to become weak, confused, neurotic, and at odds with the values of that world. It remains to be seen what additional forces and influences are responsible for that having occurred, and what can be done to reverse matters for our kind before it is too late.