

FILED
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DENVER, COLORADO

JUN 22 2018

JEFFREY P. COLWELL
CLERK

In the United States District Court
For the District of Colorado

Civil Action No. 14-cv-00245-MSK-MJW

Reverend Matt Hale,
Plaintiff,

v.

Federal Bureau of Prisons,
Defendant.

Plaintiff's Notice of Non-Service of
Docket Entries 233-235, Motion to Strike
and (Initial) Response to Defendant's Motion
for Order to Restrict Access (Doc. 236).

Plaintiff Reverend Hale is obliged to
notify the Court that whereas he duly (and
speedily) received Defendant's Motion for Order
to Restrict Access (Doc. 236) today, June 18th,
he did not receive docket entries 233 or 234

to which the Defendant's motion refers, nor has he received Doc. 235 for that matter, whatever it is. In other words, the Defendant failed to serve upon him those filings which form the basis for its motion, nor was Hale even aware until today that any such filings existed. Therefore, the Defendant's motion (Doc. 236) should be denied on that ground. In the alternative, the Defendant should be ordered to serve docket entries 233 and 234 upon him — and 235 if that is also one of its filings — and the Court should allow him the standard amount of time with which to respond or reply to these filings, as well as Doc. 236, once he has actually received those docket entries. As things stand, Hale does not know exactly what the Defendant is talking about in Doc. 236, why it wants the Court to restrict access to its own filing, and so forth. He is in the dark as to the full basis of Defendant's motion, quite simply.

That said, Hale objects strongly to the accusation that there is something wrong with the chapter of the book of philosophy that he is currently writing and which the Defendant is apparently referring to at Doc. 236 at 1-2, and he will in fact be suing the Defendant again if it doesn't mail the chapter out. Hale has the constitutional right to critique the Christian religion and discuss his personal views regarding race as he pleases within his outgoing mail, and that is especially the case regarding the books that he may choose to write. This is, after all, the United States of America, not the communist regime which the Defendant is bound and determined to impose upon this country. There is no such thing as the words of a book "inciting" violence in a country of free men; only a person who thinks that people are chumps and reactive slaves would think otherwise. Thus the Defendant's

motion is idiotic on its face. Hale has never and will never submit to the destruction of his precious First Amendment rights by the BOP, a criminal organization which routinely lies and twists the truth and perjures itself in this court and which viciously defames Hale and his "followers" on a continual basis in an effort to bring about the very violence that it claims to oppose. Hale is quite frankly sick of the Defendant's attacks upon his character and the character of those fine supporters of his in the free world, men and women whose shoes the Defendant and its employees do not deserve to lick, let alone attack. They are not the ones who commit violence. Rather, it is the federal government which is the one that routinely murders people around the world and which has sought to murder the truth in this court. It is furthermore untrue that Hale made any "extremely inflammatory statements... denigrating

non-white persons and adherents of Christianity" (Doc. 236 at 1-2) in his book. This is a mischaracterization, by proven lies, and is not worth the paper that it is written on. As he has stated previously in this court, he in fact has many Christian supporters. He has not denigrated them in his book, only explained his disagreement with their religion in a calm, methodical, rational, and logical manner as he has the clear right to do. He will defend that right to his last breath. He refuses to be a slave and will sue the Defendant again and again if that is what it takes to destroy the tyranny that it is constantly inflicting upon his person in violation of the Constitution of the United States.

Furthermore, He questions why the Defendant filed documents 233 and 234 in the first place as Defendant's description thereof does not seem to be

responsive to Hale's pending Rule 60 motion
nor otherwise appropriate at this juncture of
the case. (Whatever complaints the Defendant
has about Hale's philosophical beliefs and
their recent expression in book form is
quite simply irrelevant at this stage of
the proceedings in this court.) Therefore
the appropriate remedy here would seem to
be not the restriction of access to Doc.
234 but rather the striking of Doc. 233
and 234 altogether, a remedy which is
perfectly fine with Hale. If a party
fails to serve the other side with its
irrelevant filings, those filings should
not be considered by the court. Rather
they should be stricken on relevance and
non-service grounds.

As it stands however, if the Defendant
is going to file irrelevant documents with
the court, it should have to stand by those
documents and not defame Hale and his
"followers" with ridiculously speculative and
conjectural aspersions of potential "evidence."

"violence" which exists only within the insane heads of the Defendant and its employees, employees who quite simply belong in a rubber room instead of any position of power. These people are quite literally insane and the remedy for that is not the restriction of access to their own plung but rather the striking of their insane plung altogether — and their commitment to a reputable psychiatric hospital for treatment. (How on earth, for example, will Hale "have effectively circumvented BOP mail-monitoring procedures" (Doc. 236 at 2-3) by the Defendant plung something with this Court?) It doesn't get much nuttier than this.

For the foregoing reasons, Hale moves that docket entries 233 and 234 be stricken from the record, that docket entry 235 also be stricken in the event that it was likewise filed by the Defendant, and that Defendant's Doc. 236 should be denied as moot. In the alternative,

the Defendant should be ordered to serve docket entries 233 and 234 upon him — as well as 235 if that is also one of its filings — so that he can respond or reply to same as is his right. Hale reserves the right to respond further to Defendant's Doc. 236 once he has learned from Doc. 233 and 234 what it is referring to exactly.

I hereby swear under penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. sec. 1746 that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Respectfully sworn and submitted,

Wes. Matt Hale

June 18, 2018

Rev. Matt Hale
#15177-424
U.S.P. - Max.
P.O. Box 8500
Florence, CO 81226-8500

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on June 19, 2018
I served the foregoing document upon
the following via U.S. mail, postage fully
prepaid:

Susan Prose, Atty. for Defendant
Asst. U.S. Attorney
1801 California Street, Suite 1600
Denver, CO 80202

Rev. Matt Hale
Plaintiff

Filed
6-19

Name: KEV. MOTT HALE
Reg No: 1577-429
U.S. Penitentiary MAX
P.O. Box 3500
Florence, CO. 81226-8500

Special
Mail

Office of the Clerk
United States District Court
901-19th Street, Room 4105
Denver, CO 80294-3589

777 DRAFT 1500-2018
WED 20 JUN 2018 PM
PURPLE HEAVEN

Special
Mail

Administrative Maximum
[Faded text, likely a notice of suspension or administrative action]

JUN 19 2018